# LECTURES ON SHIMURA VARIETIES 

A. GENESTIER AND B.C. NGÔ


#### Abstract

The main goal of these lectures will be to explain the representability of moduli space abelian varieties with polarization, endomorphism and level structure, due to Mumford [GIT] and the description of the set of its points over a finite field, due to Kottwitz [JAMS]. We also try to motivate the general definition of Shimura varieties and their canonical models as in the article of Deligne [Corvallis]. We will leave aside important topics like compactifications, bad reductions and $p$-adic uniformization of Shimura varieties.

This is the set notes for the lectures on Shimura varieties given in the Asia-French summer school organized at IHES on July 2006. It is based on the notes of a course given by A. Genestier and myself in Universté Paris-Nord on 2002.
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## 1. Quotients of Siegel's upper half Space

1.1. Review on complex tori and abelian varieties. Let $V$ denote a complex vector space of dimension $n$ and $U$ a lattice in $V$ which is by definition a discrete subgroup of $V$ of rang $2 n$. The quotient $X=$ $V / U$ of $V$ by $U$ acting on $V$ by translation, is naturally equipped with a structure of compact complex manifold and a structure of abelian group.

Lemma 1.1.1. We have canonical isomorphisms from $\mathrm{H}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to the group of alternating $r$-form $\bigwedge^{r} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Since $X=V / U$ with $V$ contractible, $\mathrm{H}^{1}(X, U)=\operatorname{Hom}(U, \mathbb{Z})$. The cup-product defines a homomorphism

$$
\bigwedge^{r} \mathrm{H}^{1}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{r}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

which is an isomorphism since $X$ is isomorphic with $\left(S_{1}\right)^{2 n}$ as real manifolds where $S_{1}=\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ is the unit circle.

Let $L$ be a holomorphic line bundle over the compact complex variety $X$. Its Chern class $c_{1}(L) \in \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is an alternating 2-form on $U$ which can be made explicite as follows. By pulling back $L$ to $V$ by the quotient morphism $\pi: V \rightarrow X$, we get a trivial line bundle since every holomorphic line bundle over a complex vector space is trivial. We choose an isomorphism $\pi^{*} L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V}$. For every $u \in U$, the canonical isomorphism $u^{*} \pi^{*} L \simeq \pi^{*} L$ gives rise to an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{V}$ which consists in an invertible holomorphic function

$$
e_{u} \in \Gamma\left(V, \mathcal{O}_{V}^{\times}\right)
$$

The collection of these invertible holomorphic functions for all $u \in U$, satisfies the cocycle equation

$$
e_{u+u^{\prime}}(z)=e_{u}\left(z+u^{\prime}\right) e_{u^{\prime}}(z)
$$

If we write $a_{u}(z)=e^{2 \pi i f_{u}(z)}$ where $f_{u}(z)$ are holomorphic function well defined up to a constant in $\mathbb{Z}$, the above cocycle equation is equivalent to

$$
F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=f_{u_{2}}\left(z+u_{1}\right)+f_{u_{1}}(z)-f_{u_{1}+u_{2}}(z) \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

The Chern class

$$
c_{1}: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})
$$

sends the class of $L$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}\right)$on $c_{1}(L) \in \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ whose corresponding 2-form $E: \bigwedge^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is given by

$$
\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \mapsto E\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):=F\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)-F\left(u_{2}, u_{1}\right) .
$$

Lemma 1.1.2. The Neron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(X)$, defined as the image of $c_{1}: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ consists in the alternating 2-form $E$ : $\bigwedge^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the equation

$$
E\left(i u_{1}, i u_{2}\right)=E\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)
$$

in which $E$ denotes the alternating 2 -form extended to $U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}=V$ by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity.

Proof. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

induces a long exact sequence which contains

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

It follows that the Neron-Severi group is the kernel of the map $\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. This map is the composition of the obvious maps

$$
\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)
$$

The Hodge decomposition

$$
\mathrm{H}^{m}(X, \mathbb{C})=\bigoplus_{p+q=m} \mathrm{H}^{p}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{q}\right)
$$

where $\Omega_{X}^{q}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic $q$-forms on $X$, can be made explicite [13, page 4]. For $m=1$, we have

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(X, \mathbb{C})=V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \oplus \bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}
$$

where $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ is the space of $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ is the space of conjugate $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps and $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ is the space of $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps $V \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$. There is a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ defined by evaluating a holomorphic 1-form on $X$ on the tangent space $V$ of $X$ at the origine. There is also a canonical isomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$.

By taking $\Lambda^{2}$ of the both sides, the Hodge decomposition of $\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{C})$ can also be made explicite. We have $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)=\bigwedge^{2} \bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{1}\right)=$ $V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} \otimes \bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, \Omega_{X}^{2}\right)=\Lambda^{2} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$. It follows that the map $\mathrm{H}^{2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ is the obvious map $\bigwedge U_{\mathbb{Z}}^{*} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} V_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$. Its kernel are precisely the integral 2-forms $E$ on $U$ which satisfies the relation $E\left(i u_{1}, i u_{2}\right)=$ $E\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ after extension to $V$ by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity.

Let $E: \bigwedge^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be an integral alternating 2-form on $U$ satisfying $E\left(i u_{1}, i u_{2}\right)=E\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ after extension to $V$ by $\mathbb{R}$-linearity. The real 2-form $E$ on $V$ defines a Hermitian form $\lambda$ on the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V$ by

$$
\lambda(x, y)=E(i x, y)+i E(x, y)
$$

which in turns determines $E$ by the relation $E=\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)$. The NeronSeveri group $\mathrm{NS}(X)$ can be described in yet another way as the group of Hermitian forms $\lambda$ on the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $V$ of which the imaginary part takes integral values on $U$.

Theorem 1.1.3 (Appell-Humbert). The holomorphic line bundles on $X=V / U$ are in bijection with the pairs $(\lambda, \alpha)$ where $\lambda$ is a Hermitian form on $V$ of which the imaginary part takes integral values on $U$ and $\alpha: U \rightarrow S_{1}$ is a map from $U$ to the unit circle $S_{1}$ satisfying the equation

$$
\alpha\left(u_{1}+u_{2}\right)=e^{i \pi \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)} \alpha\left(u_{1}\right) \alpha\left(u_{2}\right) .
$$

For every $(\lambda, \alpha)$ as above, the line bundle $L(\lambda, \alpha)$ is given by the cocycle

$$
e_{u}(z)=\alpha(u) e^{\pi \lambda(z, u)+\frac{1}{2} \pi \lambda(u, u)}
$$

Let denote $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ the abelian group of isomorphism classes of line bundle on $X, \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ the subgroup of line bundle of which the Chern class vanishes. We have an exact sequence :

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{NS}(X) \rightarrow 0
$$

Let denote $\hat{X}=\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X)$ whose elements are characters $\alpha: U \rightarrow S_{1}$ from $U$ to the unit circle $S_{1}$. Let $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(V, \mathbb{R})$. There is a homomorphism $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ sending $v^{*} \in V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*}$ on the line bundle $L(0, \alpha)$ where $\alpha: U \rightarrow S_{1}$ is the character

$$
\alpha(u)=\exp \left(2 i \pi\left\langle u, v^{*}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

This induces an isomorphism $V_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} / U^{*} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ where

$$
U^{*}=\left\{u^{*} \in \hat{V}_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} \text { such that } \forall u \in U,\left\langle u, u^{*}\right\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

We can identify the real vector space $\hat{V}$ with the space $\bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ of conjugate $\mathbb{C}$-linear application $V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This gives to $\hat{X}=\bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / \hat{U}$ a structure of complex torus which is called the dual complex torus of $X$. With respect to this complex structure, the universal line bundle over $X \times \hat{X}$ given by Appell-Humbert formula is a holomorphic line bundle.

A Hermitian form on $V$ induces a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $V \rightarrow \bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$. If moreover its imaginary part takes integral values in $U$, the linear map $V \rightarrow \bar{V}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ takes $U$ into $U^{*}$ and therefore induces a homomorphism $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ which is symmetric. In this way, we identify the Neron-Severi group $\operatorname{NS}(X)$ with the group of symmetric homomorphisms from $X$ to $\hat{X}$ i.e. $\lambda$ : $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ such that $\hat{\lambda}=\lambda$.

Let $(\lambda, \alpha)$ as in the theorem and $\theta \in \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, L(\lambda, \alpha))$ be a global section of $L(\lambda, \alpha)$. Pulled back to $V, \theta$ becomes a holomorphic function on $V$ which satisfies the equation

$$
\theta(z+u)=e_{u}(z) \theta(z)=\alpha(u) e^{\pi \lambda(z, u)+\frac{1}{2} \pi \lambda(u, u)} \theta(z) .
$$

Such function is called a theta-function with respect to the hermitian form $\lambda$ and the multiplicator $\alpha$. The Hermitian form $\lambda$ needs to be positive definite for $L(\lambda, \alpha)$ to have a lot of sections, see [13, §3]

Theorem 1.1.4. The line bundle $L(\lambda, \alpha)$ is ample if and only if the Hermitian form $H$ is positive definite. In that case,

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, L(\lambda, \alpha))=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(E)}
$$

Consider the case where $H$ is degenerate. Let $W$ be the kernel of $H$ or of $E$ i.e.

$$
W=\{x \in V \mid E(x, y)=0, \forall y \in V\} .
$$

Since $E$ is integral on $U \times U, W \cap U$ is a lattice of $W$. In particular, $W / W \cap U$ is compact. For any $x \in X, u \in W \cap U$, we have

$$
|\theta(x+u)|=|\theta(x)|
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, L(\lambda, \alpha)^{\otimes d}\right)$. By the maximum principle, it follows that $\theta$ is constant on the cosets of $X$ modulo $W$ and therefore $L(\lambda, \alpha)$ is not ample. Similar argument shows that if $H$ is not positive definite, $L(H, \alpha)$ can not be ample, see [13, p.26].

If the Hermitian form $H$ is positive definite, then the equality

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{0}(X, L(\lambda, \alpha))=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(E)}
$$

holds. In [13, p.27], Mumford shows how to construct a basis, welldefined up to a scalar, of the vector space $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, L(\lambda, \alpha))$ after choosing a sublattice $U^{\prime} \subset U$ of rank $n$ which is Lagrangian with respect to the symplectic form $E$ and such that $U^{\prime}=U \cap \mathbb{R} U^{\prime}$. Based on the equality $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, L(\lambda, \alpha)^{\otimes d}\right)=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}(E)}$, one can prove $L(\lambda, \alpha)^{\otimes 3}$ gives rise to a projective embedding of $X$ for any positive definite Hermitian form $\lambda$. See Theorem 2.2.3 for a more complete statement.

Definition 1.1.5. (1) An abelian variety is a complex torus that can be embedded into a projective space.
(2) A polarization of an abelian variety $X=V / U$ is an alternating form $\lambda: \Lambda^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which is the Chern class of an ample line bundle.

With a suitable choice of a basis of $U, \lambda$ can be represented by a matrix

$$
E=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D \\
-D & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $D$ is a diagonal matrix $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ are nonnegative integers such that $d_{1}\left|d_{2}\right| \ldots \mid d_{n}$. The form $E$ is non-degenerate if these integers are zero. We call $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ type of the polarization $E$. A polarization is called principal if its type is $(1, \ldots, 1)$.

Corollary 1.1.6 (Riemann). A complex torus $X=V / U$ can be embedded as a closed complex submanifold into a projective space if and only if the exists a positive definite hermitian form $\lambda$ on $V$ such that the restriction $\operatorname{Im} H$ on $U$ is a 2-form with integral values.

Let us rewrite Riemann's theorem in term of matrices. We choose a $\mathbb{C}$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ for $V$ and a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}$ of $U$. Let $\Pi$ be the $n \times 2 n$-matrix $\Pi=\left(\lambda_{j i}\right)$ with $u_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j i} e_{j}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 2 n$. $\Pi$ is called the period matrix. Since $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 n}$ form a $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $V$, the matrix $2 n \times 2 n$-matrix $\left(\frac{\Pi}{\bar{\Pi}}\right)$ is invertible. The alternating form
$E: \bigwedge^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is represented by an alternating matrix, also denoted by $E$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}$. The form $\lambda: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\lambda(x, y)=E(i x, y)+i E(x, y)$ is hermitian if and only if $\Pi E^{-1 t} \Pi=0$. $H$ is positive definite if and only if the symmetric matrix $i \Pi E^{-1 t} \bar{\Pi}>0$ is positive definite.

Corollary 1.1.7. The complex torus $X=V / U$ with period matrix $\Pi$ is an abelian variety if and only if there is a nondegenerate alternating integral $2 n \times 2 n$ matrix $E$ such that
(1) $\Pi E^{-1 t} \Pi=0$,
(2) $i \Pi E^{-1} t \bar{\Pi}>0$.
1.2. Quotient of the Siegel upper half space. Let $X$ be an abelian variety of dimension $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $E$ be a polarization of $X$ of type $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$. There exists a basis $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with respect to which the matrix of $E$ takes the form

$$
E=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D \\
-D & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

A datum $\left(X, E,\left(u_{\bullet}, v_{\bullet}\right)\right)$ is called polarized abelian variety of type $D$ with symplectic basis. We want to describe the moduli of polarized abelian variety of type $D$ with symplectic basis.

The Lie algebra $V$ of $X$ is a $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space with $U=$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ as a lattice. Choose a $\mathbb{C}$-basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $V$. The vectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, i e_{1}, \ldots, i e_{n}$ form a $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $V$. The isomorphism $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ : $U \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$ is given by an invertible real $2 n \times 2 n$-matrix

$$
\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Pi_{11} & \Pi_{12} \\
\Pi_{21} & \Pi_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The complex $n \times 2 n$-matrix $\Pi=\left(\Pi_{1}, \Pi_{2}\right)$ is related to $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ by the relations $\Pi_{1}=\Pi_{11}+i \Pi_{21}$ and $\Pi_{2}=\Pi_{12}+i \Pi_{22}$.

Lemma 1.2.1. The set of polarized abelian variety of type $D$ with symplectic basis is canonically in bijection with the set of $G L_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ orbits of isomorphisms of real vector spaces $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}: U \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$ such that for all $x, y \in V$, we have $E\left(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} i x, \Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} i y\right)=E\left(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} x, \Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} y\right)$ and that the symmetric form $E\left(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} i x, \Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} y\right)$ is positive definite.

There are at least two methods to describe this quotient. The first one is more concrete but the second one is more suitable for generalization.

In each $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ orbit, there exists a unique $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} e_{i}=$ $\frac{1}{d_{i}} v_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Thus, the matrix $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ has thus the form

$$
\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Pi_{11} & D \\
\Pi_{21} & 0 \\
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\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\Pi$ has the form $\Pi=(Z, D)$ with where

$$
Z=\Pi_{11}+i \Pi_{21} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})
$$

satisfying ${ }^{t} Z=Z$ and $\operatorname{im}(Z)>0$.
Proposition 1.2.2. There is a canonical bijection from the set of polarized abelian varieties of type $D$ with symplectic basis to the Siegel upper half-space

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{n}=\left\{\left.Z \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right|^{t} Z=Z, \operatorname{im}(Z)>0\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, an isomorphism $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}: U \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$ defines a cocharacter $h: \mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(U \otimes \mathbb{R})$ by transporting the complex structure of $V$ on $U \otimes \mathbb{R}$. It follows from the relation $E\left(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} i x, \Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} i y\right)=$ $E\left(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} x, \Pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1} y\right)$ that the restriction of $h$ to the unit circle $S_{1}$ defines a homomorphism $h_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(U, E)$. Moreover, the $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$-orbit of $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}: U \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow V$ is well determined by the induced homomorphism $h_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(U, E)$.

Proposition 1.2.3. There is a canonical bijection from the set of polarized abelian varieties of type $D$ with symplectic basis to the set of homomorphism of real algebraic groups $h_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}_{\mathbb{R}}(U, E)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied
(1) the complexification $h_{1, \mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(U \otimes \mathbb{C})$ gives rises to a decomposition into direct sum of $n$-dimensional vector subspaces

$$
U \otimes \mathbb{C}=(U \otimes \mathbb{C})_{+} \oplus(U \otimes \mathbb{C})_{-}
$$

of eigenvalues +1 and -1 ;
(2) the symmetric form $E\left(h_{1}(i) x, y\right)$ is positive definite.

This set is a homogenous space under the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(U \otimes \mathbb{R})$ acting by inner automorphisms.

Let $\mathrm{Sp}_{D}$ be $\mathbb{Z}$-algebraic group of automorphism of the symplectic form $E$ of type $D$. The discrete group $\operatorname{Sp}_{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts simply transitively on the set of symplectic basis of $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Proposition 1.2.4. There is a canonical bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of polarized abelian variety of type $D$ and the quotient $\mathrm{Sp}_{D}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{n}$.

According to H. Cartan, there is a way to give an analytical structure to this quotient and then to prove that this quotient has indeed a structure of quasi-projective normal variety over $\mathbb{C}$.
1.3. Torsion points and level structures. Let $X=V / U$ be an abelian variety of dimension $n$. For every integer $N$, The group of $N$-torsion points $X[N]=\{x \in X \mid N x=0\}$ can be identified with the finite group $N^{-1} U / U$ that is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2 n}$. Let $E$ be a polarization of $X$ of type $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with $\left(d_{n}, N\right)=1$. The
alternating form $E: \bigwedge^{2} U \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can be extended to a non-degenerating symplectic form on $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The Weil pairing

$$
(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \exp (2 i \pi E(\alpha, \beta))
$$

is a symplectic non-degenerate form

$$
e_{N}: X[N] \times X[N] \rightarrow \mu_{N}
$$

where $\mu_{N}$ is the group of $N$-th roots of unity, provided $N$ be relatively prime with $d_{n}$. Let choose a primitive $N$-th root of unity so that the Weil pairing takes values in $\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1.3.1. Let $N$ be an integer relatively prime to $d_{n}$. A principal $N$-level structure of an abelian variety $X$ with a polarization $E$ is an isomorphisme from the symplectic module $X[N]$ with the standard symplectic module $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2 n}$ given by the matrix

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{n} \\
-I_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{n}$ is the identity $n \times n$-matrix.
Let $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}_{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ of the automorphisms of $(U, E)$ with trivial induced action on $U / N U$.

Proposition 1.3.2. There is a natural bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of polarized abelian variety of type $D$ equipped with a principal $N$-level structure and the quotient $\mathcal{A}_{n, N}^{0}=\Gamma_{A}(N) \backslash \mathfrak{H}_{n}$.

For $N \geq 3$, the group $\Gamma_{1}(N)$ does not contains torsion and act freely on Siegel half-space $\mathfrak{H}_{n}$. The quotient $\mathcal{A}_{n, N}^{0}$ is therefore a smooth complex analytic space.

## 2. Moduli space of polarized abelian schemes

### 2.1. Polarization of abelian schemes.

Definition 2.1.1. An abelian scheme over a scheme $S$ is a smooth proper group scheme with connected fiber. As a group scheme, $X$ is equipped with the following structures
(1) an unit section $e_{X}: S \rightarrow X$
(2) a multiplication morphism $X \times_{S} X \rightarrow X$
(3) an inverse morphism $X \rightarrow X$
such that the usual axioms for abstract groups hold.
Recall the following classical rigidity lemma.
Lemma 2.1.2. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ two abelian schemes over $S$ and $\alpha$ : $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ a morphism that sends unit section of $X$ on the unit section of $X^{\prime}$. Then $\alpha$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. We will summarize the proof when $S$ is a point. Consider the map $\beta: X \times X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\beta\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\alpha\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \alpha\left(x_{1}\right)^{-1} \alpha\left(x_{2}\right)^{-1} .
$$

We have $\beta\left(e_{X}, x\right)=e_{X^{\prime}}$ for all $x \in X$. For any affine neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of $e_{X^{\prime}}$ in $X^{\prime}$, there exists an affine neighborhood $U$ of $e_{X}$ such that $\beta(U \times X) \subset U^{\prime}$. For every $u \in U, \beta$ maps the proper scheme $u \times X$ in to the affine $U^{\prime}$. It follows that the $\beta$ restricted to $u \times X$ is constant. Since $\beta\left(u e_{X}\right)=e_{X^{\prime}}, \beta(u, x)=e_{X^{\prime}}$ for any $x \in X$. It follows that $\beta(u, x)=e_{X^{\prime}}$ for any $u, x \in X$ since $X$ is irreducible.

Let us mention to useful consequences of the rigidity lemma. Firstly, the abelian scheme is necessarily commutative since the inverse morphism $X \rightarrow X$ is a homomorphism. Secondly, given the unit section, a smooth proper scheme can have at most one structure of abelian schemes. It suffices to apply the rigidity lemma for the identity of $X$.

An isogeny $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is a surjective homomorphism whose kernel $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$ is a finite group scheme over $S$. Let $d$ be a positive integer. Let $S$ be a scheme whose all residual characteristic is relatively prime to $d$. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be a isogeny of degree $d$ and $K(\alpha)$ be the kernel of $\alpha$. For every geometric point $\bar{s} \in S, K(\alpha)_{s}$ is a discrete group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / d_{n} \mathbb{Z}$ with $d_{1}|\cdots| d_{n}$ and $d_{1} \ldots d_{n}=d$. The function that maps a point $s \in|S|$ to the type of $K(\alpha)_{\bar{s}}$ for any geometric point $\bar{s}$ over $s$ is a locally constant function. So it makes sense to talk about the type of an isogeny of degree prime to all residual characteristic.

Let $X / S$ be an abelian scheme. Consider the functor $\operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$ from the category of $S$-schemes to the category of abelian groups which associates to every $S$-scheme $T$ the group of isomorphism classes of $(L, \iota)$ où $L$ is an invertible sheaf on $X \times_{S} T$ and $\iota$ is a trivialization $e_{X}^{*} L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T}$ along the unit section. See [2, p.234] for the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1.3. Let $X$ be a projective abelian scheme over $S$. Then the functor $\operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$ is representable by a smooth separated $S$-scheme which is locally of finite presentation over $S$.

The smooth scheme $\operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$ equipped with the unit section corresponding to the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ admits a neutral component $\operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}^{0}$ which is an abelian scheme over $S$.
Definition 2.1.4. Let $X / S$ be a projective abelian scheme. The dual abelian scheme $\hat{X} / S$ is the neutral component $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(X / S)$ of the Picard functor Pic $_{X / S}$. We call Poincaré sheaf $P$ the restriction of the universal invertible sheaf on $X \times{ }_{S} \operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$ to $X \times_{S} \hat{X}$.

For every abelian scheme $X / S$ with dual abelian scheme $\hat{X} / S$, the dual abelian scheme of $\hat{X} / S$ is $X / S$. For every homomorphism $\alpha$ : $X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$, we have a homomorphism $\hat{\alpha}: \hat{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{X}$. If $\alpha$ is an isogeny,
the same is true for $\hat{\alpha}$. A homomorphism $\alpha: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is said symmetric if the equality $\alpha=\hat{\alpha}$ holds.

Lemma 2.1.5. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ be an isogeny and let $\hat{\alpha}: \hat{Y} \rightarrow X$ be the dual isogeny. There is a canonical perfect pairing

$$
\operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \times \operatorname{ker}(\hat{\alpha}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}
$$

Proof. Let $\hat{y} \in \operatorname{ker}(\hat{\alpha})$ and let $L_{\hat{y}}$ be the corresponding line bundle on $Y$ with a trivialization along the unit section. Pulling it back to $X$, we get the trivial line bundle equipped with a trivialization on $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$. This trivialization gives rises to a homomorphism $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ which defines the desired pairing. It is not difficult to check that this pairing is perfect, see [13, p.143].

Let $L \in \operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$ be an invertible sheaf over $X$ with trivialized neutral fibre $L_{e}=1$. For any point $x \in X$ over $s \in S$, let $T_{x}: X_{s} \rightarrow X_{s}$ be the translation by $x$. The invertible sheaf $T_{x}^{*} L \otimes L^{-1} \otimes L_{x}^{-1}$ has trivialized neutral fibre

$$
\left(T_{x}^{*} L \otimes L^{-1} \otimes L_{x}^{-1}\right)_{e}=L_{x} \otimes L_{e}^{-1} \otimes L_{x}^{-1}=1
$$

so that $L$ defines a morphism $\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{X / S}$. Since the fibres of $X$ are connected, $\lambda_{L}$ factors through the dual abelian scheme $\hat{X}$ and gives rise to a morphism

$$
\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \hat{X} .
$$

Since $\lambda_{L}$ send the unit section of $X$ on the unit section of $\hat{X}$ so that $\lambda_{L}$ is necessarily a homomorphism. Let denote $K(L)$ the kernel of $\lambda_{L}$.

Lemma 2.1.6. For every line bundle $L$ on $X$ with a trivialization along the unit section, the homomorphism $\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is symmetric. If moreover, $L=\hat{x}^{*} \mathcal{P}$ for a section $\hat{x}: S \rightarrow \hat{X}$, then $\lambda_{L}=0$.

Proof. By construction, the homomorphism $\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ represents the line bundle $m^{*} L \otimes p_{1}^{*} L^{-1} \otimes p_{2}^{*} L^{-1}$ on $X \times X$ where $m$ is the multiplication and $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are projections, equipped with the obvious trivialization along the unit section. The homomorphism $\lambda_{L}$ is symmetric as this line bundle.

If $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}$ with the obvious trivialization along the unit section, it is immediate that $\lambda_{L}=0$. Now for any $L=\hat{x}^{*} \mathcal{P}, L$ can be deformed continuously to the trivial line bundle and it follows that $\lambda_{L}=0$. In order to make the argument rigorous, one can form a family over $\hat{X}$ and apply the rigidity lemma.

Definition 2.1.7. A line bundle $L$ over an abelian scheme $X$ equipped with a trivialization along the unit section is called non-degenerated if $\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is an isogeny.

In the case where the base $S$ is $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and $X=V / U, L$ is non degenerate if and only if the associated Hermitian form on $V$ is nondegenerate.
Let $L$ be a non-degenerate line bundle on $X$ with a trivialization along the unit section. The canonical pairing $K(L) \times K(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, S}$ is then symplectic. Assume $S$ connected with residual characteristic prime to the degree of $\lambda_{L}$, there exists $d_{1}|\ldots| d_{s}$ such that for every geometric point $\bar{s} \in S$, the abelian group $K(L)_{\bar{s}}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z} / d_{n} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{2}$. We call $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ the type of the polarization $\lambda$

Definition 2.1.8. Let $X / S$ be an abelian scheme. A polarization of $X / S$ is a symmetric isogeny $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ which locally for the étale topology of $S$, is of the form $\lambda_{L}$ for some ample line bundle $L$ of $X / S$.

In order to make this definition workable, we will need to recall basic facts about cohomology of line bundles on abelian varieties. See corollary 2.2.4 in the next paragraph.
2.2. Cohomology of line bundles on abelian varieties. We are going to recollect known fact about cohomology of line bundles on abelian varieties. For the proofs, see [13, p.150]. Let $X$ be an abelian variety over a field $k$. Let denote

$$
\chi(L)=\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathrm{H}^{i}(X, L)
$$

the Euler characteristic of $L$.
Theorem 2.2.1 (Riemann-Roch theorem). For all line bundle $L$ on $X$, if $L=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ for a divisor $D$, we have

$$
\chi(L)=\frac{\left(D^{g}\right)}{g!}
$$

where $\left(D^{g}\right)$ is the $g$-fold self-intersection number of $D$.
Theorem 2.2.2 (Mumford's vanishing theorem). Let $L$ be a line bundle on $X$ such that $K(L)$ is finite. There exists a unique integer $i=i(L)$ with $0 \leq i \leq n=\operatorname{dim}(X)$ such that $\mathrm{H}^{j}(X, L)=0$ for $j \neq i$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X, L) \neq 0$. Moreover, $L$ is ample if and only if $i(L)=0$. For every $m \geq 1, i\left(L^{\otimes m}\right)=i(L)$.

Assume $S=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}), X=V / U$ with $V=\operatorname{Lie}(X)$ and $U$ a lattice in $V$. Then the Chern class of $L$ corresponds to a Hermitian for $H$ and the integer $i(L)$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of $H$.

Theorem 2.2.3. For any ample line bundle $L$ on an abelian variety $X$, then $L^{\otimes 2}$ is base-point free and $L^{\otimes m}$ is very ample if $m \geq 3$.

Since $L$ is ample, $i(L)=0$ and consequently, $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, L)=\chi(L)>0$. There exists an effective divisor $D$ such that $L \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$. Since $\lambda_{L}$ : $X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a homomorphism, the divisor $T_{x}^{*}(D)+T_{-x}^{*}(D)$ is linearly equivalent to $2 D$ and $T_{x}^{*}(D)+T_{y}^{*}(D)+T_{-x-y}^{*}(D)$ is linearly equivalent to $3 D$. By moving $x, y \in X$ we get a lot of divisors linearly equivalent and to $2 D$ and to $3 D$. The proof is based on this fact and on the formula for the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X, L^{\otimes m}\right)$. For the detailed proof, see [13, p.163].

Corollary 2.2.4. Let $X \rightarrow S$ an abelian scheme over a connected base and let $L$ be an invertible sheaf on $X$ such that $K(L)$ is a finite group scheme over $S$. If there exists a point $s \in S$ such that $K_{s}$ is ample on $X_{s}$ then $L$ is relatively ample for $X / S$.
Proof. Since $L_{s}$ is ample, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X_{s}, L_{s}\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(X_{s}, L_{s}\right)=0$ for every $i \neq 0$. For $t$ varying in $s$, the function $t \mapsto \operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(X_{t}, L_{t}\right)$ is upper semi-continuous and the function $t \mapsto \chi\left(X_{t}, L_{t}\right)$ is constant. The only way for the Mumford's vanishing theorem to be satisfied is for all $t \in S$, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X_{t}, L_{t}\right) \neq 0$ and $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(X_{t}, L_{t}\right)=0$ for all $i \neq 0$. It follows that $L_{t}$ is ample. If $L_{t}$ is ample, $L$ is relatively ample on $X$ over a neighborhood of $t$ in $S$.
2.3. An application of G.I.T. Let fix two positive integer $n \geq 1$, $N \geq 3$ and a type $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ with $d_{1}|\ldots| d_{n}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ the functor which associates to a scheme $S$ the groupoid of polarized $S$-abelian schemes of type $D$ : for every $S, \mathcal{A}(S)$ is the groupoid of $(X, \lambda, \eta)$ where
(1) $X$ is an abelian scheme over $S$;
(2) $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a polarization of type $D$;
(3) $\eta$ is a symplectic similitude $(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{2 n} \simeq X[N]$.

Theorem 2.3.1. The functor $\mathcal{A}$ defined above is representable by a smooth quasi-projective scheme.
Proof. Let $X$ be an abelian scheme over $S$ and $\hat{X}$ its dual abelian scheme. Let $P$ be the Poincaré line bundle over $X \times_{S} \hat{X}$ equipped with a trivialization over the neutral section $e_{X} \times_{S} \operatorname{id}_{\hat{X}}: \hat{X} \rightarrow X \times_{S} \hat{X}$ of $X$. Let $L^{\Delta}(\lambda)$ be the line bundle over $X$ obtained by pulling back the Poincaré line bundle $P$

$$
L^{\Delta}(\lambda)=\left(\operatorname{id}_{X}, \lambda\right)^{*} P
$$

by the composite homomorphism

$$
\left(\operatorname{id}_{X}, \lambda\right)=\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} \times \lambda\right) \circ \Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{S} X \rightarrow X \times_{S} \hat{X}
$$

where $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times_{S} X$ is the diagonal. The line bundle $L^{\Delta}(\lambda)$ gives rise to a symmetric homomorphism $\lambda_{L^{\Delta}(\lambda)}: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$.
Lemma 2.3.2. The equality $\lambda_{L^{\Delta}(\lambda)}=2 \lambda$ holds.

Proof. Locally for étale topology, we can assume $\lambda=\lambda_{L}$ for some line bundle over $X$ which is relatively ample. Then

$$
L^{\Delta}(\lambda)=\Delta^{*}\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} \times \lambda\right)^{*} P=\Delta^{*}\left(\mu^{*} L \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{1} L^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{pr}_{2} L^{-1}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
L^{\Delta}(\lambda)=(2)^{*} L \otimes L^{-2}
$$

where (2) : $X \rightarrow X$ is the multiplication by 2 . As for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_{(N)^{*} L}=N^{2} \lambda_{L}$, in particular $\lambda_{(2){ }^{*} L}=4 \lambda_{L}$, and thus we obtain the desired equality $\lambda_{L^{\Delta}(\lambda)}=2 \lambda$.

Since locally over $S, \lambda=\lambda_{L}$ where $L$ is a relatively ample line bundle, $L^{\Delta}(\lambda)$ is a relatively ample line bundle, $L^{\Delta}(\lambda)^{\otimes 3}$ is very ample. It follow that its higher direct images by $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ vanish

$$
\mathrm{R}^{i} \pi_{*} L^{\Delta}(\lambda)^{\otimes 3}=0 \text { for all } i \geq 1
$$

and $M=\pi_{*} L(\lambda)$ is a vector bundle of rank

$$
m+1:=6^{n} d
$$

over $S$.
Definition 2.3.3. A linear rigidification of a polarized abelian scheme $(X, \lambda)$ is an isomorphism

$$
\alpha: \mathbb{P}_{S}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}(M)
$$

where $M=\pi_{*} L(\lambda)$. In other words, a linear rigidification of a polarized abelian scheme $(X, \lambda)$ is a trivialization of the $\operatorname{PGL}(m+1)$-torsor associated to the vector bundle $M$ of rank $m+1$.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the functor that associates to any scheme $S$ the groupoid of triples $(X, \lambda, \eta, \alpha)$ where $(X, \lambda, \eta)$ is an polarized abelian scheme over $S$ of type $D$ and where $\alpha$ is a linear rigidification. Forgetting $\alpha$, we get a morphism

$$
\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}
$$

which is a $\operatorname{PGL}(m+1)$-torsor.
The line bundle $L^{\Delta}(\lambda)^{\otimes 3}$ provides a projective embedding

$$
X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}(M) .
$$

Using the linear rigidification $\alpha$, we can embed $X$ into the standard projective space

$$
X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{m}
$$

For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the higher direct images vanish

$$
\mathrm{R}^{i} \pi_{*} L(\lambda)^{\otimes r}=0 \text { for all } i>0
$$

and $\pi_{*} L(\lambda)^{\otimes r}$ is a vector bundle of rank $6^{n} d r^{n}$ so that we have a morphism of functor

$$
f: \mathcal{H}_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hilb}_{14}^{Q(t), 1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{m}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{Hilb}^{Q(t), 1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{m}\right)$ is the Hilbert scheme of 1-pointed subschemes of $\mathbb{P}^{m}$ with Hilbert polynomial $Q(t)=6^{n} d t^{n}: f$ sending $(X, \lambda, \alpha)$ to the image of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}_{m}$ which pointed by the unit of $X$.
Proposition 2.3.4. The morphism $f$ identifies $\mathcal{H}$ with an open subfunctor of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{Q(t), 1}\left(\mathbb{P}_{m}\right)$ which consist of pointed smooth subschemes of $\mathbb{P}_{m}$.

Proof. Since a smooth projective pointed variety $X$ has at most one abelian variety structure, the morphism $f$ is injective. Following theorem 2.4.1 of the next paragraph, any smooth projective morphisme $f: X \rightarrow S$ over a geometrically connected base $S$ with a section $e: S \rightarrow X$ has an abelian scheme structure if and only if one geometric fiber $X_{s}$ does.

Since a polarized abelian varieties with principal $N$-level structure have no trivial automorphisms, PGL $(m+1)$ acts freely on $\mathcal{H}$. We take $\mathcal{A}$ as the quotient of $\mathcal{H}$ by the free action of $\operatorname{PGL}(m+1)$. The construction of this quotient as a scheme requires nevertheless a quite technical analysis of stability. If $N$ is big enough then $X[N] \subset X \subset \mathbb{P}_{m}$ is not contained in any hyperplane, furthermore no more than $N^{2 n} / m+$ 1 points from these $N$-torsion points can lie in the same hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}_{m}$. In that case, $(A, \lambda, \eta, \alpha)$ is a stable point. In the general case, we can add level structure and then perform a quotient by a finite group. See [14, p.138] for a complete discussion.
2.4. Spreading abelian scheme structure. Let us now report on a theorem of Grothendieck [14, theorem 6.14].

Theorem 2.4.1. Let $S$ be a connected noetherian scheme. Let $X \rightarrow$ $S$ be a smooth projective morphism equipped with a section $e: S \rightarrow$ $X$. Assume for one geometric point $s=\operatorname{Spec}(\kappa(s))$, $X_{s}$ is an abelian variety over $\kappa(s)$ with neutral point $\epsilon(s)$. Then $X$ is an abelian scheme over $S$ with neutral section $\epsilon$.

Let us consider first the infinitesimal version of this assertion.
Proposition 2.4.2. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ where $A$ is an Artin local ring. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the maximal ideal of $A$ and let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ such that $\mathfrak{m} I=0$. Let $S_{0}=\operatorname{Spec}(A / I)$. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper smooth scheme with a section $e: S \rightarrow X$. Assume that $X_{0}=X \times_{S} S_{0}$ is an abelian scheme with neutre section $e_{0}=\left.e\right|_{S_{0}}$. Then $X$ is an abelian scheme with neutral section $e$.

Proof. Let $k=A / \mathfrak{m}$ and $\bar{X}=X \otimes_{A} k$. Let $\mu_{0}: X_{0} \times_{S_{0}} X_{0}$ be the morphism $\mu_{0}(x, y)=x-y$ and let $\bar{\mu}: \bar{X} \times_{k} \bar{X} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the restriction of $X_{0}$. The obstruction to extend $\mu_{0}$ to a morphism $X \times_{S} X \rightarrow X$ is an element

$$
\beta \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\bar{X} \times \bar{X}, \bar{\mu}^{*} T_{\bar{X}} \otimes_{k} I\right)
$$

where $T_{\bar{X}}$ is the tangent bundle of $\bar{X}$ which is a trivial vector bundle of fibre $\operatorname{Lie}(\bar{X})$. Thus, by Kunneth formula
$\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\bar{X} \times \bar{X}, \bar{\mu}^{*} T_{\bar{X}} \otimes_{k} I\right)=\left(\operatorname{Lie}(\bar{X}) \otimes_{k} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\bar{X})\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{H}^{1}(\bar{X}) \otimes_{k} \operatorname{Lie}(\bar{X})\right) \otimes_{k} I$.
Consider $g_{1}, g_{2}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0} \times_{S_{0}} X_{0}$ with $g_{1}(x)=(x, e)$ and $g_{2}(x)=(x, x)$. The endomorphisms of $X_{0}, g_{1} \circ \mu_{0}=\mathrm{id}_{X_{0}}$ and $g_{2} \circ \mu_{0}=(e \circ f)$ have obvious way to extend to $X$ so that the obstruction classes $\beta_{1}=g_{1}^{*} \beta$ and $\beta_{2}=g_{2}^{*} \beta$ must vanish. Since one can express $\beta$ in function of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ by Kunneth formula, $\beta$ vanishes too.

The set of all extensions $\mu$ of $\mu_{0}$ is a principal homogenous space under

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\bar{X} \times_{k} \bar{X}, \bar{\mu}^{*} T_{\bar{X}} \otimes_{k} I\right)\right) .
$$

Among these extensions, there exists a unique $\mu$ such that $\mu(e, e)=e$ which provides a group scheme structure on $X / S$.

We can extend the abelian scheme structure to an infinitesimal neighborhood of $s$. This structure can be algebrized and then descend to a Zariski neightborhood since the abelian scheme structure is unique if it exists. It remains to prove the following lemma due to Koizumi.

Lemma 2.4.3. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ where $A$ is a discrete valuation ring with generic point $\eta$. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a proper and smooth morphism with a section $e: S \rightarrow X$. Assume that $A_{\eta}$ is an abelian variety with neutral point $e(\eta)$. Then $X$ is an abelian scheme with neutral section $\eta$.
Proof. Suppose $A$ is henselian. Since $X \rightarrow S$ is proper and smooth, inertia group $I$ acts trivially on $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(X_{\bar{\eta}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. By Grothendieck-OggShafarevich's criterion, there exists an abelian scheme $A$ over $S$ with $A_{\eta}=X_{\eta}$ and $A$ is the Néron's model of $A_{\eta}$. By the universal property of Néron's model there exist a morphism $X \rightarrow A$ extending the isomorphism $\pi: X_{\eta} \simeq A_{\eta}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a relatively ample invertible sheaf on $X / S$. Choose a trivialization on the unit point of $X_{\eta}=A_{\epsilon}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ with the trivialization on the unit section extends uniquely on $A$ to a line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ since $\operatorname{Pic}(A / S)$ satisfies the valuative criterion for properness. It follows that $\pi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{s}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{s}$ have the same Chern class. If $\pi$ have a fiber of positive dimension then the restriction to that fiber of $\pi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{s}^{\prime}$ is trivial. In contrario, the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{s}$ to that fiber is still ample. This contradiction implies that all fiber of $\pi$ have dimension zero. The finite birational morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow A$ is necessarily an isomorphism according to Zariski's main theorem.
2.5. Smoothness. In order to prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is smooth, we will need to review Grothendieck-Messing's theory of deformation of abelian schemes.

Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a thickening of $\bar{S}=\operatorname{Spec}(R / I)$ with $I^{2}=0$, or more generally, locally nilpotent and equipped with a structure of divided power. According to Grothendieck and Messing, we can attach
to an abelian scheme $\bar{A}$ of dimension $n$ over $\bar{S}$ a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-module of rank $2 n$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}
$$

such that

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})
$$

We can associate with every abelian scheme $A / S$ such that $A \times{ }_{S} \bar{S}=\bar{A}$ a sub- $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-module

$$
\omega_{A / S} \subset \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}^{1}(A / S)=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cris}}^{1}(\bar{A})_{S}
$$

which is locally a direct factor of rank $n$ and which satisfies

$$
\omega_{A / S} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}=\omega_{\bar{A} / \bar{S}}
$$

Theorem 2.5.1 (Grothendieck-Messing). The functor, defined as above, from the category of abelian schemes $A / S$ with $A \times{ }_{S} \bar{S}=\bar{A}$ to the category sub- $\mathcal{O}_{S}$-modules $\omega \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}$ which are locally a direct factors and which satisfy such that

$$
\omega \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{S}} \mathcal{O}_{\bar{S}}=\omega_{\bar{A} / \bar{S}}
$$

is an equivalence of categories.
See [10, p.151] for the proof of this theorem.
Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a thickening of $\bar{S}=\operatorname{Spec}(R / I)$ with $I^{2}=0$. Let $\bar{A}$ be an abelian scheme over $S$ and $\bar{\lambda}$ be a polarization of $\bar{A}$ of type $\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}\right)$ with integer $d_{i}$ relatively to residual characteristic of $\bar{S}$. The polarization $\bar{\lambda}$ induces an isogeny

$$
\psi_{\bar{\lambda}}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\vee}
$$

where $\bar{A}^{\vee}$ is the dual abelian scheme of $\bar{A} / \bar{S}$. Since the degree of the isogeny is relatively prime to residual characteristic, it induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}\left(\bar{A}^{\vee} / \bar{S}\right)_{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}
$$

or a bilinear form $\psi_{\bar{\lambda}}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}$ which is a symplectic form. The module of relative differential $\omega_{\bar{A} / \bar{S}}$ is locally a direct factor of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{\bar{S}}$ with is isotropic with respect to the symplectic form $\psi_{\bar{\lambda}}$. It is known that the Lagrangian grassmannian is smooth so that one can lift $\omega_{\bar{A} / \bar{S}}$ to a locally direct factor of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}$ which is isotropic. According to Grothendieck-Messing theorem, we got an a lifting of $\bar{A}$ to an abelian scheme $A / S$ with a polarization $\lambda$ that lifts $\bar{\lambda}$.
2.6. Adelic description and Hecke operators. Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be abelian varieties over a base $S$. A homomorphism $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is an isogeny if one of the following conditions is satisfied

- $\alpha$ is surjective and $\operatorname{ker}(\alpha)$ is a finite group scheme over $S$;
- there exists $\alpha^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha^{\prime} \circ \alpha$ is the multiplication by $N$ in $X$ and $\alpha \circ \alpha^{\prime}$ is the multiplication by $N$ in $X^{\prime}$ for some positive integer $N$
A quasi-isogeny is an equivalence class of pair $(\alpha, N)$ formed by a isogeny $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ and a positive integer $N,(\alpha, N) \sim\left(\alpha^{\prime}, N^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $N^{\prime} \alpha=N \alpha^{\prime}$. Obviously, we think of the equivalence classe $(\alpha, N)$ as $N^{-1} \alpha$.

Fix $n, N, D$ as in 2.4. There is another description of the category $\mathcal{A}$ which is less intuitive but more convenient when we have to deal with level structures.

Let $U$ be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $2 n$ and let $E$ be an alternating form $U \times U \rightarrow M_{U}$ with value in some rank one free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $M_{U}$. Assume that the type of $E$ is $D$. Let $G$ be the group of symplectic similitudes of ( $U, M_{U}$ ) which associates to any ring $R$ the groupe $G(R)$ of pairs $(g, c) \in \mathrm{GL}(U \otimes R) \times R^{\times}$such that

$$
E(g x, g y)=c E(x, y)
$$

for every $x, y \in U \otimes R$. Thus $G$ is a group scheme defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ and is reductive away from the prime $\ell$ dividing $D$. For every prime $p$, define the compact open subgroup $K_{\ell} \subset G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$

- if $\ell X N$, then $K_{N, \ell}=G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$;
- if $\ell \mid N$, then $K_{\ell}$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} / N \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$.
Let fix a prime $p$ not dividing $N$ nor $D$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}$ obtained by inverting all prime $\ell$ different from $p$.

Consider the schemes $S$ whose residual characteristic are 0 or $p$. Consider the groupoid $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}(S)$ defined as follows
(1) objets of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ are triples $(X, \lambda, \tilde{\eta})$ where

- $X$ is an abelian scheme over $S$;
- $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ multiple of a polarization of degree prime to $p$, such that such that for every prime $\ell$, for every $s \in S$ the symplectic form induced by $\lambda$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ is similar to $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$;
- for every prime $\ell \neq p, \tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ is a $K_{\ell}$-orbit of symplectic similitudes from $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ to $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ which is invariant under $\pi_{1}(S, s)$. We assume that for almost all prime $\ell$, this $K_{\ell^{-}}$ orbit corresponds to the auto-dual lattice $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$.
(2) a homomorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}}\left((X, \lambda, \eta),\left(X^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ is a quasiisogeny $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha^{*}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $\lambda$ differs by a scalar in $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$and $\alpha^{*}\left(\eta^{\prime}\right)=\eta$.
Consider the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ which associates to $(X, \lambda, \eta) \in \mathcal{A}(S)$ the triple $(X, \lambda, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(S)$ where the $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ are defined as follows. Let $s$ be a geometric point of $S$. Let $\ell$ be a prime not dividing $N$ and $D$. Giving a symplectic similitude from $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ to $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ up to action of $K_{\ell}$ is
equivalent to give an auto-dual lattice of $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. The $K_{\ell}$-orbit is stable under $\pi_{1}(S, s)$ if and only the auto-dual lattice is invariant under $\pi_{1}(S, s)$. We pick the obvious choice $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ as auto-dual lattice of $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ which is invariant under $\pi_{1}(S, s)$. If $\ell$ divides $D$, we want a $\pi_{1}(S, s)$-invariant lattice such that the restriction of the Weil symplectic pairing is of type $D$. Again, $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ fulfills this property. If $\ell$ divides $N$, Given a symplectic similitude from $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ to $U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ up to action of $K_{\ell}$ is equivalent to given an auto-dual lattice of $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X_{s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and a rigidification of the pro- $\ell$-part of $N$ torsions points of $X_{s}$. But this is provided by the level structure $\eta_{\ell}$ in the moduli problem $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 2.6.1. The above functor is an equivalence of categories.
Proof. As defined, it is obviously faithful. It is fully faithful because a quasi-isogeny $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ which induces an isomorphism $\alpha^{*}: \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$, is necessarily an isomorphism of abelian schemes. By assumption $\alpha$ carry $\lambda$ on a rational multiple $\lambda^{\prime}$. But both $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are polarizations of same type, $\alpha$ must carry $\lambda$ on $\lambda^{\prime}$. This prove that the functor is fully faithful.

The essential surjectivity derives from the fact that we can modify an abelian schemes $X$ equipped with level structure $\tilde{\eta}$, by a quasi-isogeny $\alpha: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ so that the isomorphisme

$$
U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \simeq \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \simeq \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

identifies $U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. There is a unique way to choose a rigidification $\eta$ of $X^{\prime}[N]$ in compatible way with $\tilde{\eta}_{p}$ for $p \mid N$. Since the in symplectic form $E$ on $U$ is of the type $(D, D)$ the polarization $\lambda$ on $X^{\prime}$ is also of this type.

Let us now describe the points of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with value in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider an objet of $(X, \lambda, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ equipped with a symplectic basis of $\mathrm{H}_{1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. In this case, since $\lambda$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-multiple of a polarization of $X$, it is given by an element of

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{n}^{ \pm}=\left\{\left.Z \in M_{n}(\mathbb{C})\right|^{t} Z=Z, \pm \operatorname{im}(Z)>0\right\}
$$

For all $\ell \neq p, \tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ defines an element of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / K_{p}$. At $p$, the integral Tate module $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ defines an element of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. It follows that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{n, N}=G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[\mathfrak{h}_{n}^{ \pm} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K_{N}\right] .
$$

The advantage of the prime description of the moduli problem is that we can replace the principal compact open subgroups $K_{N}$ by any compact open subgroup $K=\prod K_{p} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ such that $K_{p}=G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ for almost all $p$. In the general case, the proof of the representability is reduced to the principal case.

## 3. Shimura varieties of PEL type

3.1. Endomorphism of abelian varieties. Let $X$ be an abelian variety of dimension $n$ over an algebraically closed field $k$. Let $\operatorname{End}(X)$ the ring of endomorphisms of $X$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)=\operatorname{End}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. If $k=\mathbb{C}, X=V / U$ then we have two faithful representations

$$
\rho_{a}: \operatorname{End}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V) \text { and } \rho_{r}: \operatorname{End}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(U)
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{End}(X)$ is a torsion free abelian group of finite type. Over arbitrary field $k$, we need to introduce Tate modules. Let $\ell$ be a prime different from characteristic of $k$ then for every $m$, the kernel $X\left[\ell^{m}\right]$ of the multiplication in $X$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{2 n}$.

Definition 3.1.1. The Tate module $T_{\ell}(X)$ is the limit

$$
T_{\ell}(X)=\lim X\left[\ell^{n}\right]
$$

of the inverse system given by the multiplication by $\ell: X\left[\ell^{n+1}\right] \rightarrow X\left[\ell^{n}\right]$. As $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$-module, $T_{\ell}(X)=\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{2 n}$. We note $V_{\ell}=T_{\ell} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

We can identify Tate module $T_{\ell}(X)$ with first étale homology $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ which is by definition is the dual of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$. Similarly, $V_{\ell}(X)=$ $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(X, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$.

Theorem 3.1.2. For any abelian varieties $X, Y$ over $k, \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)$ is a finitely generated abelian group, and the natural map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}\left(T_{\ell}(X), T_{\ell}(Y)\right)
$$

is injective.
See [13, p.176] for the proof.
Definition 3.1.3. An abelian variety is called simple if it does not admit strict abelian subvariety.

Proposition 3.1.4. If $X$ is a simple abelian variety, $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a division algebra.

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-zero endomorphism of $X$. The identity component of its kernel is a strict abelian subvariety of $X$ which must be zero. Thus the whole kernel of $f$ must be a finite group and the image of $f$ must be $X$ for dimensional reason. It follows that $f$ is an isogeny and therefore invertible in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ and therefore $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a division algebra.

Theorem 3.1.5 (Poincaré). Every abelian variety $X$ is isogenous to a product of simple abelian varieties.

Proof. Let $Y$ be an abelian subvariety of $X$. We want to prove the existence of a quasi-supplement of $Y$ in $X$ that is a subabelian variety $Z$ of $X$ such that the homomorphism $Y \times Z \rightarrow X$ is an isogeny. Let $X$ be the dual abelian variety and $\hat{\pi}: \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ be the dual homomorphism
to the inclusion $Y \subset X$. Let $L$ be an ample line bundle over $X$ and $\lambda_{L}: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ the isogeny attached to $L$. By restriction to $Y$, we get a homomorphism $\hat{\pi} \circ \lambda_{E} \mid Y: Y \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ which is surjective since $\left.L\right|_{Y}$ is still an ample line bundle. Therefore the kernel $Z$ of the homomorphism $\hat{\pi} \circ \lambda_{E}: X \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ is a quasi-complement of $Y$ in $X$.

Assume $X$ to be isogenous to $\prod_{i} X_{i}^{m_{i}}$ where the $X_{i}$ are mutually nonisogenous abelian varieties and $m_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)=\prod_{i} M_{m_{i}}\left(D_{i}\right)$ where $M_{m_{i}}\left(D_{i}\right)$ is the algebra of $m_{i} \times m_{i}$-matrices over the skew-field $D_{i}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(X_{i}\right)$.

Corollary 3.1.6. End $_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a finite-dimensional semi-simple algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. If $X$ is isogenous to $X_{1}^{d_{1}} \times \cdots X_{r}^{d_{r}}$ then

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)=M_{d_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \times \cdots M_{d_{r}}\left(D_{r}\right)
$$

where $D_{i}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(X_{i}\right)$ are division algebras finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$.

We have a function

$$
\operatorname{deg}: \operatorname{End}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}
$$

defined by the following rule : $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is the degree of the isogeny $f$ if $f$ is an isogeny and $\operatorname{deg}(f)=0$ if $f$ is not an isogeny. Using the formula $\operatorname{deg}(m f)=m^{2 n} \operatorname{deg}(f)$ for all $f \in \operatorname{End}(X), m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n=\operatorname{dim}(X)$, we can extend this function to $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$

$$
\operatorname{deg}: \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{+} .
$$

For every prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$, we have a representation of the endomorphism algebra

$$
\rho_{\ell}: \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\ell}\right) .
$$

These representations for different $\ell$ are related by the function degree.
Theorem 3.1.7. For every $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}(f)=\operatorname{det} \rho_{\ell}(f) \text { and } \operatorname{deg}\left(n .1_{X}-f\right)=P(n)
$$

where $P(t)=\operatorname{det}\left(t-\rho_{\ell}(f)\right)$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{\ell}(f)$. In particular, $\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{\ell}(f)\right)$ is a rational number which is independent of $\ell$.

Let $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ be a polarization of $X$. One attach to $\lambda$ an involution on the semi-simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) .{ }^{1}$

[^1]Definition 3.1.8. The Rosati involution on $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ associated with $\lambda$ is the involution defined by the following formula

$$
f \mapsto f^{*}=\lambda^{-1} \hat{f} \lambda
$$

for every $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$.
The polarization $\lambda: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ induces an alternating form $X\left[\ell^{m}\right] \times$ $X\left[\ell^{m}\right] \rightarrow \mu_{\ell^{m}}$ for every $m$. By passing to the limit on $m$, we get a symplectic form

$$
E: V_{\ell}(X) \times V_{\ell}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1) .
$$

By definition $f^{*}$ is the adjoint of $f$ for this symplectic form

$$
E(f x, y)=E\left(x, f^{*} y\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.9. The Rosati involution is positive. For every $f \in$ $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X), \operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{\lambda}\left(f f^{*}\right)\right)$ is a positive rational number.

Proof. Let $\lambda=\lambda_{L}$ for some ample line bundle $L$. One can prove the formula

$$
\operatorname{tr} \rho_{\ell}\left(f f^{*}\right)=\frac{2 n\left(L^{n-1} \cdot f^{*}(L)\right)}{\left(L^{n}\right)}
$$

Since $L$ is ample, the cup-products $\left(L^{n-1} \cdot f^{*}(L)\right)$ (resp. $L^{n}$ ) is the number of intersection of an effective divisor $f^{*}(L)$ (resp. $L$ ) with $n-1$ generic hyperplans of $|L|$. Since $L$ is ample, these intersection numbers are positive integers.

Let $X$ be abelian variety equipped with a polarization $\lambda$. The semisimple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $B=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is equipped with
(1) a complex representation $\rho_{a}$ and a rational representation $\rho_{r}$ satisfying $\rho_{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}=\rho_{a} \oplus \bar{\rho}_{a}$.
(2) an involution $b \mapsto b^{*}$ such that for all $b \in B-\{0\}$, we have $\operatorname{tr} \rho_{r}\left(b b^{*}\right)>0$.
Suppose that $B$ is a simple algebra of center $F$. Then $F$ is a number field equipped with a positive involution $b \mapsto b^{*}$ restricted from $B$. There are three possibilities
(1) the involution is trivial on $F$ then $F$ is a totally real number field (involution of first kind). In this case, $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is a product of $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ or is a product of $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ where $\mathbb{H}$ is the algebra of Hamiltonian quaternions equipped with their respective positive involutions (case C and D).
(2) the involution is non trivial on $F$, its fixed points forms a totally real number field $F_{0}$ and $F$ is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of $F_{0}$ (involution of second kind). In this case, $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is a product of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ equipped with its positive involution (case A).
3.2. Positive definite Hermitian form. Let $B$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with an involution. A Hermitian form on a $B$-module $V$ is a symmetric form $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(b v, w)=$ $\left(v, b^{*} w\right)$. It is positively definite if $(v, v)>0$ for all $v \in V$.

Lemma 3.2.1. The following assertions are equivalent
(1) There exists a faithful $B$-module $V$ such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(x x^{*}, V\right)>0$ for all $x \in B-\{0\}$.
(2) The above is true for every faithful $B$-module $V$
(3) $\operatorname{tr}_{B / \mathbb{R}}\left(x x^{*}\right)>0$ for all nonzero $x \in B$.
3.3. Skew-Hermitian modules. Summing up what has been said in the last two sections, the endomorphisms of a polarized abelian variety, after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$ is a finite-dimensional semi-simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra equipped with a positive involution. For every prime $\ell \neq \operatorname{char}(k)$, this algebra has a representation on the Tate module $V_{\ell}(X)$ which is equipped with a symplectic form. We are going now to look at this structure in more axiomatic way.

Let $k$ be a field. Let $B$ be a finite-dimensional semisimple $k$-algebra equipped with an involution $*$. Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ be a basis of $B$ as $k$ vector space. For any finite-dimensional $B$-module $V$ we can define a polynomial $\operatorname{det}_{V} \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$ by the formula

$$
\operatorname{det}_{V}=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{1} \beta_{1}+\cdots x_{r} \beta_{r}, V \otimes_{k} k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]\right)
$$

Lemma 3.3.1. Two finite-dimensional $B$-modules $V$ and $U$ are isomorphic if and only if $\operatorname{det}_{V}=\operatorname{det}_{U}$.

Proof. If $k$ is an algebraically closed field, $B$ is a product of matrix algebras over $k$. The lemma follows from the classification of modules over a matrix algebra. Now let $k$ be an arbitrary field and $\bar{k}$ its algebraic closure. Automorphism of a $B$-module is of the form $\mathrm{GL}_{m}(F)$ where $F$ is the center of $B$, has trivial Galois cohomology. This allows us to descend from the algebraically closure of $\bar{k}$ to $k$.

Definition 3.3.2. A skew-Hermitian B-module is a $B$-module $U$ which is equipped with a symplectic form

$$
U \times U \rightarrow M_{U}
$$

with value in a 1-dimensional $k$-vector space $M_{U}$ such that $(b x, y)=$ $\left(x, b^{*} y\right)$ for any $x, y \in V$.

The group $G(U)$ of automorphism of a skew-Hermitian $B$-module $U$ is pair $(g, c)$ where $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{B}(U)$ and $c \in \mathbb{G}_{m, k}$ such that $(g x, g y)=$ $c(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in U$.

If $k$ is an algebraically closed field, two skew-Hermitian modules $V$ and $U$ are isomorphic if and only if $\operatorname{det}_{V}=\operatorname{det}_{U}$. In general, the set of skew-Hermitian modules $V$ with $\operatorname{det}_{V}=\operatorname{det}_{U}$ is classified by $\mathrm{H}^{1}(k, G(U))$.

Let $k=\mathbb{R}, B$ is a finite-dimensional semi-simple algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with an involution and $U$ is a skew-Hermitian $B$-module. Let $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(U_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ such that $(h(z) v, w)=(v, h(\overline{( } z) w)$ and such that the symmetric bilinear form $(v, h(i) w)$ is positive definite.

Lemma 3.3.3. Let $h, h^{\prime}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(U_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ be two such homomorphisms. Suppose that the two $B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$-modules $U$ induced by $h$ and $h$ ' are isomorphic, then $h$ and $h^{\prime}$ are conjugate by an element of $G(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $B$ be is a finite-dimensional simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra equipped with an involution and let $U_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be skew-Hermitian module $U_{\mathbb{Q}} \times U_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow M_{U_{\mathbb{Q}}}$. An integral structure is an order $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ of $B$ and a free abelian group $U$ equipped with multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and an alternating form $U \times U \rightarrow$ $M_{U}$ of which the generic fibre is the skew Hermitian module $U_{\mathbb{Q}}$.
3.4. Shimura varieties of type PEL. Let fix a prime $p$. We will describe the PEL moduli problem over a discrete valuation ring with residual characteristic $p$ under the assumption that the PEL datum is unramified at $p$.

Definition 3.4.1. A rational PE-structure (polarization and endomorphism) is a collection of data as follows
(1) $B$ is a finite-dimensional simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra, assume that $B_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$ is a product of matrix algebra over unramified extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$;
(2) * is a positive involution of $B$;
(3) $U_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is skew-Hermitian B-module;
(4) $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(U_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ such that $(h(z) v, w)=(v, h(\bar{z}) w)$ and such that the symmetric bilinear form $(v, h(i) w)$ is positive definite.

The homomorphism $h$ induces a decomposition $U_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}=U_{1} \oplus U_{2}$ where $h(z)$ acts on $U_{1}$ by $z$ and on $U_{2}$ by $\bar{z}$. Let choose a basis $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ which is free of finite rank. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{t}$ be indeterminates. The determinant polynomial

$$
\operatorname{det}_{\Lambda_{1}}=\operatorname{det}\left(x_{1} \beta_{1}+\cdots+x_{r} \beta_{r}, V_{1} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]\right)
$$

is a homogenous polynomial of degree $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} U_{1}$. The subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ generated by the coefficients of the polynomial $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{t}\right)$ is a number field which is independent of the choice of the basis $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$. The above number field $E$ is called the reflex field of the PE-structure. It is equivalent to define $E$ as the definition field of the isomorphism class of the $B_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $U_{1}$.

Definition 3.4.2. An integral PE structure consists in a rational PE structure equipped with the following extra data
(5) $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ is a order of $B$ stable under $*$ which is maximal at $p$.
(6) $U$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{B}$-integral structure of the skew-Hermitian module $U_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Suppose that $\beta_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$, then the coefficients of the determinant polynomial $\operatorname{det}_{U_{1}}$ lie in $O=\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

Let fix an integer $N \geq 3$. Consider the moduli problem $\mathcal{B}$ of abelian schemes with PE-structure and with principal $N$-level structure. The functor $\mathcal{B}$ associates to any $O$-scheme $S$ the category $\mathcal{B}(S)$ whose objects are

$$
(A, \lambda, \iota, \eta)
$$

where
(1) $A$ is an abelian scheme over $S$
(2) $\lambda: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is a polarization
(3) $\iota: \mathcal{O}_{B} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(A)$ such that the Rosati involution induced by $\lambda$ restricts to the involution $*$ of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and such that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\beta_{1} X_{1}+\cdots \beta_{r} x_{r}, \operatorname{Lie}(A)\right)=\operatorname{det}_{U_{1}}
$$

such that for all prime $\ell \neq p$ such that for all geometric point $s$ of $S, T_{\ell}\left(A_{s}\right)$ equipped with the action of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and with the alternating form induced by $\lambda$ is similar to $U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$.
(4) $\eta$ is a similitude from $A[N]$ equipped with the symplectic form and the action of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and $U / N U$ that can be lifted to an isomorphism $H_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ with $U \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}$.

Theorem 3.4.3. The functor which associates to a E-scheme $S$ to the set of isomorphism classes $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is smooth representable by a quasiprojective scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{E} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.
Proof. For $\ell \neq p$, the isomorphism class of the skew-Hermitian module $T_{\lambda}\left(A_{s}\right)$ is locally constant with respect to $s$ so that we can forget the condition on this isomorphism class in representability problem.

By forgetting endomorphisms, we have a morphism $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. It is equivalent to have $\iota$ and to have actions of $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r}$ satisfying certain conditions. Therefore, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is representable by a projective morphism for what it is enough to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4.4. Let $A$ be a projective abelian scheme over a locally noetherian scheme $S$. Then the functor that associate to any $S$-scheme $T$ the set $\operatorname{End}\left(A_{T}\right)$ is representable by a disjoint union of of projective scheme over $S$.

Proof. A graph of an endomorphism $b$ of $A$ is a closed subscheme of of $A \times{ }_{S} A$ so that the functor of endomorphisms for a subfunctor of some Hilbert scheme. Let's check that this subfunctor is representable by a locally closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme.

Let $Z \subset A \times_{S} A$ a closed subscheme flat over a connected base $S$. Let's check that the condition $s \in S$ such that $Z_{s}$ is a graph is an open condition. Suppose that $p_{A}: Z_{s} \rightarrow A_{s}$ is an isomorphisme over a point $s \in S$. By flatness, the relative dimension of $Z$ over $S$ is equal to that of
$A$. For every $s \in S$, every $a \in A$, the intersection $Z_{s} \cap\{a\} \times A_{s}$ is either of dimension bigger than 0 either consists in exactly one point since the intersection number is constant under deformation. This implies that the morphisme $p_{1}: Z \rightarrow A$ is birationnal projective morphism. There is an open subset $U$ of $A$ over which $p_{1}: Z \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism. Since $\pi: A \rightarrow S$ is proper, $\pi_{A}(A-U)$ is closed. Its complement $S-\pi_{A}(A-U)$ which is open, is the set of $s \in S$ over which $p_{1}: Z_{s} \rightarrow A_{s}$ is an isomorphism.

Let $Z \subset A \times_{S} A$ be a graph of a morphism $f: A \rightarrow A . f$ is a homomorphism if and only if $f$ sends the unit on the unit so that the condition $s \in S$ such that $f_{s}$ is a homomorphism is a closed condition. So the functor which attach to a $S$-scheme $T$ the set $\operatorname{End}_{T}\left(A_{T}\right)$ is representable by a locally closed subscheme of a Hilbert scheme.

In order to prove that this subfunctor is represented by a closed subscheme of the Hilbert scheme, it is enough to verify the valuative criterion.

Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with generic point $\eta$. Let $A$ ba a $S$-abelian scheme and $f_{\eta}: A_{\eta} \rightarrow A_{\eta}$ be an endomorphism. Then $f_{\eta}$ can be extended in a unique way to an endomorphism $f: A \rightarrow A$ by Weil's extension lemma.

Theorem 3.4.5 (Weil). Let $G$ be a smooth group scheme over S. Let $X$ be smooth scheme over $S$ and $U \subset X$ is a open subscheme whose complement $Y=X-U$ has codimension $\geq 2$. Then and morphism $f: U \rightarrow G$ can be extended to $X$. In particular, if $G$ is an abelian scheme, we can always extend.
3.5. Adelic description. Let $G$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-reductive group defined as the automorphism group of the skew-Hermitian module $U_{\mathbb{Q}}$. For every $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$, let

$$
G(R)=\left\{(g, c) \in \mathrm{GL}_{B}(U)(R) \times R^{\times} \mid(g x, g y)=c(x, y)\right\} .
$$

For all $\ell \neq p$ we have a compact open subgroup $K_{\ell} \subset G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ which consists in $g \in G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ such that $g\left(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)=\left(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ and which satisfies an extra condition in the case $\ell \mid N$ that the action induced by $g$ on $\left(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) / N\left(U \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)$ is trivial.

Lemma 3.5.1. There exists a unique smooth group scheme $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\ell}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\ell}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}=G \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{K_{\ell}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)=K_{\ell}$.

Consider the functor $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ which associates to any $E$-scheme the category $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}(S)$ : objects of this category are

$$
(A, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta})
$$

where
(1) $A$ is a $S$-abelian schemes over $S$,
(2) $\lambda: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-multiple of a polarization,
(3) $\iota: \mathcal{O}_{B} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(A)$ such that the Rosati involution induced by $\lambda$ restricts to the involution $*$ of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and such that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha_{1} X_{1}+\cdots \alpha_{t} X_{t}, \operatorname{Lie}(A)\right)=f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{t}\right)
$$

(4) fix a geometric point $s$ of $S$, for every prime $\ell \neq p, \tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ is a $K_{\ell^{-}}$ orbit of isomorphisms from $V_{\ell}\left(A_{s}\right)$ to $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ compatible with symplectic forms and action of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and stable under the action of $\pi_{1}(S, s)$
Morphisms of from $(A, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta})$ to $\left(A^{\prime}, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta}\right)$ is a quasi-isogeny $\alpha: A \rightarrow$ $A^{\prime}$ of degree prime to $p$ carrying $\lambda$ to a scalar (in $\mathbb{Q}^{\times}$) multiple of $\lambda^{\prime}$ and carrying $\tilde{\eta}$ on $\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.5.2. The obvious functor $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is an equivalence of categories.

The proof is the same as in the Siegel case.
3.6. Complex points. An isomorphism class of objet $(A, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta}) \in$ $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ gives rises to
(1) a skew-Hermitian $B$-module $\mathrm{H}_{1}(A, \mathbb{Q})$,
(2) for every prime $\ell$, a $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-similitude $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right) \simeq U \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ as skewHermitian $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-modules, defined up to the action of $K_{\ell}$.
For $\ell \neq p$, this is required in the moduli problem. For the prime $p$, for every $b \in B, \operatorname{tr}\left(b, \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}(b, \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ because both are equal with $\operatorname{tr}\left(b, \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)\right)$ for any $\ell \neq p$. It follows that the skew-Hermitian modules $\operatorname{tr}\left(b, \mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{tr}\left(b, \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)$ are isomorphic after base change to a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and therefore the isomorphism class of the skew-Hermitian module defines an element of $\xi_{p} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, G\right)$. Now, in the groupoïd $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}(\mathbb{C})$ the arrows are given by prime to $p$ isogeny, $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ is a well-defined self-dual lattice stable by multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{B}$. It follows that the class $\xi_{p} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}, G\right)$ mentioned above comes from a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}, G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}\right)$ where $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ is the reductive group scheme over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ which extend $G_{\mathbb{Q}_{p}}$. In the case $G$ so $G_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}}$ has connected fibres, this implies the vanishing of $\xi_{p}$. Kottwitz gave a further argument in the case where $G$ is not connected.

The first datum gives rise to a class

$$
\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)
$$

and the second datum implies that the images of $\xi$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, G\right)$ vanishes. We have

$$
\xi \in \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\ell} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}, G\right)\right) .
$$

According to Borel and Serre, $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$ is a finite set. For every $\xi \in \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$, fix a skew-Hermitian $B$-module $V^{(\xi)}$ whose class in $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$ is $\xi$ and fix a $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-similitude $V^{(\xi)} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ with $V \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ as skewHermitian $B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$-module and also a similitude over $\mathbb{R}$.

Let denote $\mathcal{B}^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{C})$ be the subset of $\mathcal{B}^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{C})$ of $(A, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta})$ such that $\mathrm{H}_{1}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $V^{(\xi)}$. Let $(A, \lambda, \iota, \eta) \in \mathcal{B}^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\beta$ be an isomorphism of skew-Hermitian $B$-modules from $\mathrm{H}_{1}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ to $V^{(\xi)}$. The set of quintuple $(A, \lambda, \iota, \eta, \beta)$ can be described as follows
(1) Then $\tilde{\eta}$ defines an element $\tilde{\eta} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$.
(2) The complex structure on $\operatorname{Lie}(A)=V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ defines a homomorphism $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{B}\left(V_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ such that $h(\bar{z})$ is the adjoint operator of $h(z)$ for the symplectic form on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$. Since $\pm \lambda$ is a polarization, $(v, h(i) w)$ is positive or negative definite. Moreover the isomorphism $B \otimes \mathbb{C}$-module $V$ is specified by the determinant condition on the tangent space. It follows that $h$ lies in a $G(\mathbb{R})$ conjugacy classe $X_{\infty}$.
Therefore the set of quintuples is $X_{\infty} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$. Two different trivializations $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ differs by an automorphism of the skew-Hermitian $B$-module $V^{(\xi)}$. This group is the inner form $G^{(\xi)}$ of $G$ obtained by the image of $\xi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$ in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{Q}, G^{\text {ad }}\right)$. In conclusion we get

$$
\mathcal{B}^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{C})=G^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[X_{\infty} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right]
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})=\bigsqcup_{\xi \in \operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)} \mathcal{B}^{(\xi)}(\mathbb{C})
$$

## 4. Shimura varieties

4.1. Review on Hodge structures. See [Deligne, Travaux de Griffiths]. Let $Q$ be a subring of $\mathbb{R}$ : we think specifically about the cases $Q=\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. A $Q$-Hodge structure will be called respectively integral, rational or real Hodge structure.

Definition 4.1.1. A $Q$-Hodge structure is a projective $Q$-module $V$ equipped with a bigraduation of $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V \otimes_{Q} \mathbb{C}$

$$
V_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{p, q} H^{p, q}
$$

such that $H^{p, q}$ and $H^{q, p}$ are complex conjugate i.e. the semi-linear automorphism $\sigma$ of $V_{\mathbb{C}}=V \otimes_{Q} \mathbb{C}$ given by $v \otimes z \mapsto v \otimes \bar{z}$, satisfies the relation $\sigma\left(H^{p, q}\right)=H^{q, p}$ for every $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The integers $h^{p, q}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(H^{p, q}\right)$ are called Hodge numbers. We have $h^{p, q}=h^{q, p}$. If there exists an integer $n$ such that $H^{p, q}=0$ unless $p+q=n$ then the Hodge structure is said to be pure of weight $n$. When the Hodge structure is pure of weight $n$, the Hodge filtration $F^{p} V=\bigoplus_{r \geq p} V^{r s}$ determines the Hodge structure by the relation $V^{p q}=$ $F^{p} V \cap \overline{F^{q} V}$.

Let $\mathbb{S}=\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ be the real algebraic torus defined as the Weil restriction from $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$. We have a norm homomorphism
$\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\times}$whose kernel is the unit circle $S^{1}$. Similarly, we have an exact sequence of real tori

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 1
$$

We have an inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{\times} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times}$whose cokernel can be represented by the homomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow S^{1}$ given by $z \mapsto z / \bar{z}$. We have the corresponding exact sequence of real tori

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow 1
$$

The inclusion $w: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ is called the weight homomorphism.
Lemma 4.1.2. Let $G=G L(V)$ the linear group defined over $Q$. $A$ Hodge structure on $V$ is equivalent to a homomorphism $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}=$ $G \otimes_{Q} \mathbb{R}$. The Hodge structure is pure of weight $n$ if the restriction of $x$ to $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{S}$ factors through the center $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}=Z\left(G_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ and the homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow Z\left(G_{\mathbb{R}}\right)$ is given by $t \mapsto t^{n}$.

Proof. A bi-graduation $V_{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{p, q} V^{p, q}$ is the same as a homomorphism $h_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}^{2} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$. The complex conjugation of $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ exchange the factors $V^{p, q}$ and $V^{q, p}$ if and only if $h_{\mathbb{C}}$ descends to a homomorphism of real algebraic groups $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 4.1.3. A polarization of a Hodge structure ( $V_{\mathbb{Q}}, V^{p, q}$ ) of weight $n$, is a bilinear form $\Psi_{K}$ on $V_{K}$ such that the induced form $\Psi$ on $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ is invariant under $h\left(S^{1}\right)$ and such that the form $\Psi(x, h(i) y)$ is symmetric and positive definite.

It follows from the identity $h(i)^{2}=(-1)^{n}$ that the bilinear form $\Psi(x, y)$ is symmetric if $n$ is even and alternate if $n$ is odd :

$$
\Psi(x, y)=(-1)^{n} \Psi\left(x, h(i)^{2} y\right)=(-1)^{n} \Psi(h(i) y, h(i) x)=(-1)^{n} \Psi(y, x) .
$$

Example. An abelian varieties induces a typical Hodge structure. Let $X=V / U$ be an abelian variety. Let $G$ be $G L(U \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ as algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The complex structure $V$ on the real vector space $U \otimes \mathbb{R}=V$ induces a homomorphism of real algebraic groups

$$
\phi: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

so that $U$ is equipped with a structure of integral Hodge structure of weight -1 . A polarization of $X$ is symplectic form $E$ on $V$, taking integral values on $U$ such that $E(i x, i y)=E(x, y)$ and such that $E(x, i y)$ is a positive definite symmetric form.

Let $V$ be a projective $Q$-module of finite rank. A Hodge structure on $V$ induces Hodge structures on tensor products $V^{\otimes m} \otimes\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes n}$. Let fix a finite set of tensors $\left(s_{i}\right)$

$$
s_{i} \in V^{\otimes m_{i}} \otimes\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes n_{i}} .
$$

Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be the stabilizer of these tensors.

Lemma 4.1.4. There is a bijection between the Hodge structures on $V$ for which the tensors $s_{i}$ are of type $(0,0)$ and the set of homomorphism $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. A homomorphism $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ if and only if the image $h(S)$ fix all tensors $s_{i}$. This is equivalent to say that these tensors are of type $(0,0)$ for the induced Hodge structures.

There is a related notion of Mumford-Tate group. Let $G$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$ group and $\phi: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ a The Mumford-Tate group of $X$ is the smallest algebraic subgroup $\operatorname{Hg}(\phi)$ of $G$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through $\operatorname{Hg}(\phi)$. Originally, Mumford called $\operatorname{Hg}(\phi)$ the Hodge group.

Definition 4.1.5. Let $G$ be an algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow$ $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a homomorphism of real algebraic groups. The Mumford-Tate group of $(G, \phi)$ is the smallest algebraic subgroup $H=\operatorname{Hg}(\phi)$ of $G$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\phi$ factors through $H_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ be the ring of algebraic functions over $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{R}[G]=$ $\mathbb{Q}[G] \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{R}$. The group $S^{1}$ acts on $\mathbb{R}[G]$ through the homomorphism $\phi$. Let $\mathbb{R}[G]^{\phi=1}$ be the subring of functions fixed by $\phi\left(S^{1}\right)$ and consider the subring

$$
\mathbb{Q}[G] \cap \mathbb{R}[G]^{\phi=1}
$$

of $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. For every $v \in \mathbb{Q}[G] \cap \mathbb{R}[G]^{\phi=1}$, let $G_{v}$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $G$ at $v$. Since $G_{v}$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\phi$ factors through $G_{v, \mathbb{R}}$, we have the inclusion $H \subset G_{v}$. In particular, $v \in \mathbb{Q}[G]^{H}$. It follows that

$$
\mathbb{Q}[G] \cap \mathbb{R}[G]^{\phi=1}=\mathbb{Q}[G]^{H} .
$$

This property does not however characterize $H$. In general, for any subgroup $H$ of $G$, we have an obvious inclusion

$$
H \subset H^{\prime}=\bigcap_{v \in \mathbb{Q}[G]^{H}} G_{v}
$$

which might be strict. If $H=H^{\prime}$ then we say that $H$ is an observable subgroup of $G$. To prove that this is indeed the case for the MumfordTate group of an abelian variety, we will need the following general lemma.

Lemma 4.1.6. Let $H$ be a reductive subgroup of a reductive group $G$ then $H$ is observable.

Proof. Assume the base field $k=\mathbb{C}$. According to Chevalley, see [Borel], for every subgroup $H$ of $G$, there exists a representation $\rho$ : $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ and a vector $v \in V$ such that $H$ is the stabilizer of the line $k v$. Since $H$ is reductive, there exists a complement $U$ of $k v$ in $V$. Let $k v^{*} \subset V^{*}$ be the line orthogonal to $U$ with some generator $v^{*}$. Then $H$ is the stabilizer of the vector $v \otimes v^{*} \in V \otimes V^{*}$.

Let $G=\mathrm{GL}\left(U_{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ and $\phi: S^{1} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a homomorphism such that $\phi(i)$ induces a Cartan involution on $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the smallest tensor subcategory stable by subquotient of the category of Hodge structure that contains $\left(U_{\mathbb{Q}}, \phi\right)$. There is the forgetful functor Fib $_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Lemma 4.1.7. $H$ is the automorphism group of the functor Fib.
Proof. Let $V$ be a representation of $G$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ equipped with the Hodge structure defined by $\phi$. Let $U$ be a subvector space of $V$ compatible with the Hodge structure. Then $H$ must stabilize $U$. It follows that $H$ acts naturally on $\mathrm{Fib}_{\mathcal{C}}$ i.e. we have a natural homomorphism $H \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{\otimes}\left(\mathrm{Fib}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$.

Proposition 4.1.8. The Mumford-Tate group of a polarizable Hodge structure is a reductive group.

Proof. Since we are working over a fields of characteristic zero, $H$ is reductive if and only if the category of representations of $H$ is semisimple. Using the Cartan involution, we can exhibit a positive definite bilinear form on $V$. This implies that every subquotient of $V^{\otimes m} \otimes$ $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\otimes n}$ is a subobject.
4.2. Variation of Hodge structures. Let $S$ be a complex analytic variety. The letter $Q$ denote a ring contained in $\mathbb{R}$ which could be $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 4.2.1. A variation of Hodge structures (VHS) on $S$ of weight $n$ consists in the following data
(1) a local system projective $Q$-modules $V$
(2) a decreasing filtration $F^{p} \mathcal{V}$ on the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}=V \otimes_{Q}$ $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ such that the Griffiths transversality is satisfied i.e. for all integer $i$

$$
\nabla\left(F^{p} \mathcal{V}\right) \subset F^{p-1} \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{S}^{1}
$$

where $\nabla: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega_{S}^{1}$ is the connection $v \otimes f \mapsto v \otimes d f$ for which $V \otimes_{Q} \mathbb{C}$ is the local system of horizontal sections
(3) for every $s \in S$, the filtration induces on $V_{s}$ a pure Hodge structure of weight $n$.

There are obvious notion of the dual VHS and tensor product of VHS. The Leibnitz formula $\nabla\left(v \otimes v^{\prime}\right)=\nabla(v) \otimes v^{\prime}+v \otimes \nabla\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ assure that Griffiths transversality is satisfied for the tensor product.

Typical examples of polarized VHS are provided by cohomology of smooth projective morphism. Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a smooth projective morphism over a complex analytic variety $S$. Then $H^{n}=\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}$ is a local system of $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. Since $H^{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}_{S}$ is equal to de Rham cohomology $\mathrm{H}_{d R}^{n}=\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{\bullet}$ where $\Omega_{X / S}^{\bullet}$ is the relative de Rham complex. Since the spectral sequence degenerates on $E^{2}$, the
abutments $\mathrm{H}_{d R}^{n}$ are equipped with a decreasing filtration by subvector bundle $F^{p}\left(\mathrm{H}_{d R}^{n}\right)$ with

$$
\left(F^{p} / F^{p+1}\right) \mathrm{H}_{d R}^{n}=\mathrm{R}^{q} f_{*} \Omega_{X / S}^{p}
$$

with $p+q=n$. The connexion $\nabla$ satisfies the Griffiths' transversality. By Hodge's decomposition, we have instead a direct sum

$$
\mathrm{H}_{d R}^{n}\left(X_{s}\right)=\bigoplus_{p q} H^{p, q}
$$

with $H^{p, q}=\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(X_{s}, \Omega_{X_{s}}^{p}\right)$ and $\overline{H^{p, q}}=H^{q, p}$ so that all axioms of VHS are satisfied.

If we choose a projective embedding, $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{S}^{d}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d}}(1)$ defines a class

$$
c \in \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(S, \mathrm{R}^{2} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}\right) .
$$

By hard Lefschetz theorem, the cup product by $c^{d-n}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{*} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{2 d-n} f_{*} \mathbb{Q} \text { defined by } \alpha \mapsto c^{d-n} \wedge \alpha
$$

so that by Poincaré duality we get a polarization on $\mathrm{R}^{n} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}$.
4.3. Reductive Shimura datum. The torus $\mathbb{S}=\operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$ plays a particular role in the formalism of Shimura varieties shaped by Deligne in [4], [5].
Definition 4.3.1. A Shimura datum is a pair $(G, X)$ consisting of a reductive group $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a $G(\mathbb{R})$-conjugacy class $X$ of homomorphisms $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the following properties
(SD1) For $h \in X$, only the characters $z / \bar{z}, 1, \bar{z} / z$ occur in the representation of $\mathbb{S}$ on $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$;
(SD2) $\operatorname{ad} h(i)$ is a Cartan involution of $G^{\text {ad }}$ i.e. if the real Lie group $\{g \in G(\mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{ad}(h(i)) \sigma(g)=g\}$ is compact.

The action $\mathbb{S}$, restricted to $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}$ is trivial on $\operatorname{Lie}(G)$ so that $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow$ $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ sends $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}$ into the center $Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$. The induced homomorphism $w=\left.h\right|_{\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}}}: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{R}} \rightarrow Z_{\mathbb{R}}$ is independent of the choice of $h \in X$. We call $w$ the weight homomorphism.

Base change to $\mathbb{C}$, we have $\mathbb{S} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\mathbb{G}_{m} \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ where the factors are ordered in the way that $\mathbb{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}(\mathbb{C})$ is the map $z \mapsto(z, \bar{z})$. Let $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}$ the homomorphism defined by $z \rightarrow(z, 1)$. If $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is a Hodge structure then $\mu_{h}=h_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \mu: \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ determines its Hodge filtration.

Siegel case. Abelian variety $A=V / U$ is equipped with a polarization $E$ which is a non-degenerate symplectic form on $U_{\mathbb{Q}}=U \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let GSp the group of symplectic similitudes

$$
\operatorname{GSp}\left(U_{\mathbb{Q}}, E\right)=\left\{(g, c) \in \operatorname{GL}\left(U_{\mathbb{Q}}\right) \times \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}} \mid E(g x, g y)=c E(x, y)\right\} .
$$

The scalar $c$ is called the similitude factor. Base changed to $\mathbb{R}$, we get the group of symplectic similitudes of the real symplectic space $\left(U_{\mathbb{R}}, E\right)$. The complex vector space structure on $V=U_{\mathbb{R}}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \operatorname{GSp}\left(U_{\mathbb{R}}, E\right) .
$$

In this case, $X$ is the set of complex structures on $U_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $E(h(i) x, h(i) y)=E(x, y)$ and $E(x, h(i) y)$ is a positive definite symmetric form.

PEL case. Suppose $B$ is a simple $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra of center $F$ equipped with a positive involution $*$. Let $F_{0} \subset F$ be the fixed field by $*$. Let $G$ be the group of symplectic similitudes of a skew-Hermitian $B$-module V

$$
G=\left\{(g, c) \in \operatorname{GL}_{B}(V) \times \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Q}} \mid(g x, g y)=c(x, y)\right\} .
$$

Let $G_{1}$ be the subgroup of $G$ defined by

$$
G_{1}(R)=\left\{x \in\left(C \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \mid x x^{*}=1\right\}\right.
$$

for any $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $R$. We have an exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow 1
$$

The group $G_{1}$ is a scalar restriction of a group $G_{0}$ defined over $F_{0}$.
Since simple $\mathbb{R}$-algebra with positive involution must be $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$, $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ or $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ with their standard involutions there will be three cases to be considered.
(1) Case (A) : If $\left[F: F_{0}\right]=2$, then $F_{0}$ is a totally real field and $F$ is a totally imaginary extension. Over $\mathbb{R}, B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is product of $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ copies of $M_{n}(\mathbb{C}) . G_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}} G_{0}$ where $G_{0}$ is an inner form of the quasi-split unitary group attached to the quadratic extension $F / F_{0}$.
(2) Case (C) : If $F=F_{0}$ then $F$ is a totally real field. and $B \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to a product of $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ copies of $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ equipped with their positive involution. In this case, $G_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}} G_{0}$ where $G_{0}$ is an inner form of a quasi-split symplectic group over $F_{0}$.
(3) Case (D) : $B \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to a product of $\left[F_{0}: \mathbb{Q}\right]$ copies of $M_{n}(\mathbb{H})$ equipped with positive involutions. The simplest case is $B=\mathbb{H}, V$ is a skew-Hermitian quaternionic vector space. In this case, $G_{1}=\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}} G_{0}$ where $G_{0}$ is an even orthogonal group.

Tori case. In the case where $G=T$ is a torus over $\mathbb{Q}$, both conditions (SD1) and (SD2) are obvious since the adjoint representation is trivial. The conjugacy class of $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$ contains just one element since $T$ is commutative.

Deligne proved the following statement in [5, prop. 1.1.14] which provides a justification for to the not so natural notion of Shimura datum.

Proposition 4.3.2. Let $(G, X)$ be a Shimura datum. Then $X$ has a unique structure of a complex manifold such that for every representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V),(V, \rho \circ h)_{h \in X}$ is a variation of Hodge structure which is polarizable.

Proof. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a faithful representation of $G$. Since $w_{h} \subset Z_{G}$, the weight filtration of $V_{h}$ is independent of $h$. Since the weight graduation is fixed, the Hodge structure is determined by the Hodge filtration. It follows that the morphism to the Grassmannian

$$
\omega: X \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)
$$

which sends $h$ on the Hodge filtration attached to $h$, is injective. We need to prove that this morphism identifies $X$ with the complex subvariety of $\operatorname{Gr}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. It suffices to prove that

$$
d \omega: T_{h} X \rightarrow T_{\omega(h)} \operatorname{Gr}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)
$$

identifies $T_{h} X$ with a complex vector subspace of $\operatorname{Gr}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.
Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G$ and ad : $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{g})$ the adjoint representation. Let $G_{h}$ be the centralizer of $h$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{h}$ its Lie algebra. We have $\mathfrak{g}_{h}=\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{0,0}$ for the Hodge structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ induced by $h$. It follows that the tangent space to the real analytic variety $X$ at $h$ is

$$
T_{h} X=\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} / \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}
$$

Let $W$ be a pure Hodge structure of weight 0 . Consider the $\mathbb{R}$-linear morphisme

$$
W_{\mathbb{R}} / W_{\mathbb{R}} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0} \rightarrow W_{\mathbb{C}} / F^{0} W_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

which is injective. Since both vector spaces have the same dimension over $\mathbb{R}$, it is also surjective. It follows that $W_{\mathbb{R}} / W_{\mathbb{R}} \cap W_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0}$ admits a canonical complex structure.

Since the above isomorphism is functorial on the pure Hodge structures of weight 0 , we have a commutative diagram

which proves that the image of $T_{h} X=\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}} / \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^{0,0}$ in $T_{\omega(h)} \operatorname{Gr}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)=$ $\operatorname{End}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right) / F^{0} \operatorname{End}\left(V_{\mathbb{C}}\right)$ is a complex subvector space.

The Griffiths transversality of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}$ follows from the same diagram. There is a commutative triangle of vector bundles

where the horizontal arrow is the derivation in $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}$. The Griffiths transversality of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}$ is satisfied if and only if the image of the derivation is contained in $F^{-1} \operatorname{End}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$. But this follows from the fact that

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}=F^{-1} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

and the map $T X \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) / F^{0} \operatorname{End}\left(V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ factors through $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) / F^{0}\left(\mathfrak{g}_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$.
4.4. Dynkin classification. Let $(G, X)$ be a SD-datum. Over $\mathbb{C}$, we have a conjugacy class of cocharacter

$$
\mu^{a d}: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}^{a d} .
$$

The complex adjoint semi-simple group $G^{a d}$ is isomorphism to a product of complex adjoint simple groups $G^{a d}=\prod_{i} G_{i}$. The simple complex adjoint groups are classified by their Dynkin diagrams. The axiom $S D 1$ implies that $\mu^{\text {ad }}$ induces an action of $\mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{i}$ of which the set of weights is $\{-1,0,1\}$. Such cocharacters are called minuscules. Minuscule coweights are some of the fundamental coweights and therefore can be specified by special nodes in the Dynkin diagram. Every Dynkin diagram have at least one special node except three of them those that are named F4, G2, E8. We can classify DS-data over the complex numbers with helps of Dynkin diagram.

### 4.5. Semi-simple Shimura datum.

Definition 4.5.1. A semi-simple Shimura datum is a pair $\left(G, X^{+}\right)$ consisting of a semi-simple algebraic group $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and a $G(\mathbb{R})^{+}$conjugacy class of homomorphism $h^{1}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying the axioms (SD1) and (SD2). Here $G(\mathbb{R})^{+}$denotes the neutral component of $G(\mathbb{R})$ for the real topology.

Let $(G, X)$ be a reductive Shimura datum. Let $G^{\text {ad }}$ be the adjoint group of $G$. Every $h \in X$ induces a homomorphism $h^{1}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow G^{\text {ad }}$. The $G^{\text {ad }}(\mathbb{R})^{+}$-conjugacy class $X^{+}$of $h^{1}$ is isomorphic with the connected component of $h$ in $X$.

The spaces $X^{+}$are exactly the so-called Hermitian symmetric domains with symmetry group $G(\mathbb{R})^{+}$.

Theorem 4.5.2 (Baily-Borel). Let $\Gamma$ be a torsion free arithmetic subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})^{+}$. The quotient $\Gamma \backslash X^{+}$has a canonical realization as

Zariski open subset of a complex projective algebraic variety. In particular, it has a canonical structure of complex algebraic variety.
These quotients $\Gamma \backslash X^{+}$as complex algebraic variety, are called connected Shimura variety. The terminology is a bit confusing, because they are not Shimura varieties which are connected but the connected components of Shimura varieties.
4.6. Shimura varieties. Let $(G, X)$ be a Shimura datum. For a compact open subgroup $K$ of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$, consider the double coset space

$$
\operatorname{Sh}_{K}(G, X)=G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[X \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right]
$$

in which $G(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $X$ and $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ on the left and $K$ acts on $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ on the right.

Lemma 4.6.1. Let $G(\mathbb{Q})_{+}=G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap G(\mathbb{R})_{+}$. Let $X_{+}$be a connected component of $X$. Then there is a homeomorphism

$$
G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[X \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right]=\bigsqcup_{\xi \in \Xi} \Gamma_{\xi} \backslash X_{+}
$$

where $\xi$ runs over a finite set $\Xi$ of representatives of $G(\mathbb{Q})_{+} \backslash G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$ and $\Gamma_{\xi}=\xi K \xi^{-1} \cap G(\mathbb{Q})$.
Proof. Consider the map

$$
\bigsqcup_{\xi \in \Xi} \Gamma_{\xi} \backslash X_{+} \rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q})_{+} \backslash\left[X_{+} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right]
$$

sending the class of $x \in X_{+}$on the class of $(x, \xi) \in X \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ which is bijective by the very definition of the finite set $\Xi$ and of the discrete groups $\Gamma_{\xi}$.

It follows from the theorem of real approximation that the map

$$
G(\mathbb{Q})_{+} \backslash\left[X_{+} \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right] \rightarrow G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[X \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K\right]
$$

is a bijection.
Lemma 4.6.2 (Real approximation). For any connected group $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}, G(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $G(\mathbb{R})$.
See [16, p.415].

## Remarks.

(1) The group $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$ acts on the inverse limit $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash\left[X \times G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right]\right.$. On Shimura varieties of finite level, there is an action of Hecke algebras by correspondences.
(2) In order to have an arithmetic significance, Shimura varieties must have models over a number field. According to the theory of canonical model, there exists a number field called the reflex field $E$ depending only on the SD-datum over which the Shimura variety has a model which can be characterized by certain properties.
(3) The connected components of Shimura varieties have canonical models over abelian extensions of the reflex $E$ which depend not only on the SD-datum but also on the level structure.
(4) Strictly speaking, the moduli of abelian varieties with PEL is not a Shimura varieties but a disjoint union of Shimura varieties. The union is taken over the set $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$. For each class $\xi \in$ $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, G)$, we have a $\mathbb{Q}$-group $G^{(\xi)}$ which is isomorphic to $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and over $\mathbb{R}$ but which might not be isomorphic to $G$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.
(5) The Langlands correspondence has been proved in many particular cases by studing the commuting action of Hecke operators and of Galois groups of the reflex field on the cohomology of Shimura varieties.

## 5. CM TORI and CANONICAL MODEL

5.1. PEL moduli attached to a CM torus. Let $F$ be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real number field $F_{0}$ of degree $f_{0}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. We have $[F: \mathbb{Q}]=2 f_{0}$. Such a field $F$ is called a CM field. Let $\tau_{F}$ denote the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(F / F_{0}\right)$. This involution acts on the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of cardinal $2 f_{0}$.

Definition 5.1.1. A CM-type of $F$ is a subset $\Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ of cardinal $f_{0}$ such that

$$
\Phi \cap \tau(\Phi)=\emptyset \text { and } \Phi \cup \tau(\Phi)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) .
$$

A CM type is a pair $(F, \Phi)$ constituting of a CM field $F$ and a CM type $\Phi$ of $F$.

Let $(F, \Phi)$ be a CM type. The absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(F, \mathbb{Q})$. Let $E$ be the fixed field of the open subgroup

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / E)=\{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \mid \sigma(\Phi)=\Phi\} .
$$

For every $b \in F$,

$$
\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(b) \in E
$$

and conversely $E$ can be characterized as the subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by the sums $\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(b)$ for $b \in F$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be an order of $F$. Let $\Delta$ be the finite set of primes where $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is ramified over $\mathbb{Z}$. By construction, the scheme $Z_{F}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\left[p^{-1}\right]_{p \in \Delta}\right)$ is a finite étale over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})-\Delta$. By construction the reflex field $E$ is also unramified away from $\Delta$ and let $Z_{E}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\left[p^{-1}\right]_{p \in \Delta}\right)$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
Z_{F} \times Z_{E}=\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\Phi} \sqcup\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\tau(\Phi)}
$$

where $\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\Phi}$ and $\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\tau(\Phi)}$ are two copies of $\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\tau(\Phi)}$ with $Z_{F_{0}}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F_{0}}\left[p^{-1}\right]_{p \in \Delta}\right)$.

To complete the $P E$-structure, we will take $U$ to be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $F$. The Hermitian form on $U$ with be give by

$$
\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}_{F / \mathbb{Q}}\left(c b_{1} \tau\left(b_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for some element $c \in F$ such that $\tau(c)=-c$. The reductive group $G$ associated to this PE-structure is a $\mathbb{Q}$-torus $T$ equipped with a cocharacter $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T$ which can be made explicite as follows.

Let $\widetilde{T}=\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$. The CM-type $\Phi$ induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{R}$ algebras and of tori

$$
F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}=\prod_{\phi \in \Phi} \mathbb{C} \text { and } \widetilde{T}(\mathbb{R})=\prod_{\phi \in \Phi} \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

According to this identification, $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the diagonal homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \Phi} \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

The complex conjugation $\tau$ induces an involution $\tau$ on $\widetilde{T}$. The norm $N_{F / F_{0}}$ given by $x \mapsto x \tau(x)$ induces a homomorphism $\operatorname{Res}_{F / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$.

The torus $T$ is defined as the pullback of the diagonal subtorus $\mathbb{G}_{m} \subset$ $\operatorname{Res}_{F_{0} / \mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_{m}$. In particular

$$
T(\mathbb{Q})=\left\{x \in F^{\times} \mid x \tau(x) \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}\right\} .
$$

The character $\tilde{h}: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \widetilde{T}_{\mathbb{R}}$ factors through $T$ and defines a character $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T$. As usual $h$ defines a character

$$
\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

defines at level of points

$$
\mathbb{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \prod_{\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}})} \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

is identity on the component $\phi \in \Phi$ and is trivial on the component $\phi \in \tau(\Phi)$. The reflex field $E$ is the field of definition of $\mu$.

Let $p \notin \Delta$ an unramified prime of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$. Choose an open compact subgroup $K^{p} \in T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ and take $K_{p}=T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.

We consider the functor $\operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)$ which associates to a $Z_{E}$-scheme $S$ the set of isomorphism classes of

$$
(A, \lambda, \iota, \eta)
$$

where

- $A$ is an abelian scheme of relative dimension $f_{0}$ over $S$;
- $\iota: \mathcal{O}_{F} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(A)$ an action of $F$ on $A$ such that for every $b \in F$, for every geometric point $s$ of $S$

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(b, \operatorname{Lie}\left(A_{s}\right)\right)=\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(a) ;
$$

- $\lambda$ is a polarization of $A$ whose Rosati involution induces on $F$ the complex conjugation $\tau$;
- $\eta$ is a level structure.

Proposition 5.1.2. $\operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)$ is a finite étale scheme over $Z_{E}$.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)$ is quasi-projective over $Z_{E}$, it suffices to check the valuative criterion for properness and the unique lifting property of étale morphism.

Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ be a spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with generic point $\operatorname{Spec}(K)$ and with closed point $\operatorname{Spec}(k)$. Pick a point $x_{K} \in \operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)(K)$ with

$$
x_{K}=\left(A_{K}, \iota_{K}, \lambda_{K}, \eta_{K}\right) .
$$

The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ acts on the $F \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$-module $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(A \otimes_{K}\right.$ $\left.\bar{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. It follows that $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K} / K)$ acts semisimply. After replacing $K$ by a finite extension $K^{\prime}, R$ by its normalization $R^{\prime}$ in $K^{\prime}, A_{K}$ acquires a good reduction i.e. there exists an abelian scheme over $R^{\prime}$ such that whose generic fiber is $A_{K^{\prime}}$. The endomorphisms extend by Weil's extension theorem. The polarization needs a little more care. The symmetric homomorphism $\lambda_{K}: A_{K} \rightarrow \hat{A}_{K}$ extends to a symmetric homomorphism $\lambda: A \rightarrow \hat{A}$. After finite étale base change of $S$, there exists an invertible sheaf $L$ on $A$ such that $\lambda=\lambda_{L}$. By assumption $L_{K}$ is an ample invertible sheaf over $A_{K}$. $\lambda$ is an isogeny, $L$ is non degenerate on generic and on special fibre. Mumford's vanishing theorem implies that $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X_{K}, L_{K}\right) \neq 0$. By upper semi-continuity property, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(X_{s}, L_{s}\right) \neq 0$. But since $L_{s}$ is non-degenerate, Mumford'vanishing theorem says that $L_{s}$ is ample.

This proves that $\operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)$ is proper. Let $S=\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ where $R$ is a local artinian $\mathcal{O}_{E}$-algebra with residual field $\bar{k}$ and $\bar{S}=\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{R})$ with $\bar{R}=R / I, I^{2}=0$. Let denote $s=\operatorname{Spec}(\bar{k})$ the closed point of $S$ and $\bar{S}$. Let $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)(\bar{S})$ with $\bar{x}=(\bar{A}, \bar{\iota}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\eta})$. We have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \omega_{\bar{A}} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{d R}^{1}(\bar{A}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Lie}(\hat{\bar{A}}) \rightarrow 0
$$

with compatible action of $\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{E}$. As $\mathcal{O}_{Z_{F} \times Z_{E}}$-module, $\omega_{A_{s}}$ is supported by $\left.\left(Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E}\right)_{\Phi}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Lie}(\hat{A})$ is supported by $Z_{F_{0}} \times Z_{E_{\tau(\Phi)}}$ so that the above exact sequence splits. This extends to a canonical split of the cristalline cohomology $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}(\bar{A} / \bar{S})_{S}$. According to GrothendieckMessing, this splitting induces a lift of the abelian scheme $\bar{A} / \bar{S}$ to an abelian scheme $A / S$. The additional structures $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{u}, \bar{\eta}$ by functoriality of Grothendieck-Messing's theory.
5.2. Description of its special fibre. We will keep the notations of the previous paragraph. Let pick a place $v$ of the reflex field $E$ which does not lie over the finite set $\Delta$ of primes where $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is ramified. $\mathcal{O}_{E}$ is unramified ovec $\mathbb{Z}$ at the place $v$. We want to describe the set $\mathrm{Sh}_{K}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ equipped with the operator of Frobenius $\mathrm{Frob}_{v}$.

Theorem 5.2.1. There is a natural bijection

$$
\mathrm{Sh}_{K}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)=\bigsqcup_{\alpha} T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Y^{p} \times Y_{p}
$$

where
(1) $\alpha$ runs over the set of isogeny classes compatible with action of $\mathcal{O}_{E_{(p)}}$
(2) $Y^{p}=T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right) / K^{p}$
(3) $Y_{p}=T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$
(4) for every $\lambda \in T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ we have $\lambda\left(x^{p}, x_{p}\right)=\left(\lambda x^{p}, x_{p}\right)$
(5) the Frobenius $\mathrm{Frob}_{v}$ acts by the formula

$$
\left(x^{p}, x_{p}\right) \mapsto\left(x^{p}, \mathrm{~N}_{E_{v} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)\right) x_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $x_{0}=\left(A_{0}, \lambda_{0}, \iota_{0}, \eta_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$. Let $X$ be the set pair $(x, \rho)$ where $x=(A, \lambda, \iota, \eta) \in \operatorname{Sh}_{K}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\bar{F}_{p}\right)$ and

$$
\rho: A_{0} \rightarrow A
$$

is a quasi-isogeny which is compatible with the actions of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ and transform $\lambda_{0}$ onto a rational multiple of $\lambda$.

We will need to prove the following two assumptions :
(1) $X=Y^{p} \times Y_{p}$ with the prescribed action of Hecke operators and of Frobenius ;
(2) the group of quasi-isogenies of $A_{0}$ compatible with $\iota_{0}$ and transforms $\lambda_{0}$ into a rational multiple, is $T(\mathbb{Q})$.

Quasi-isogeny of degree relatively prime to $p$. Let $Y^{p}$ the subset of $X$ where we impose the degree of the quasi-isogeny to be relatively prime to $p$. Consider the prime description of the moduli problem. A point $(A, \lambda, \iota, \tilde{\eta})$ is a abelian variety up to isogeny, $\lambda$ is a rational multiple of a polarization, $\iota$ is the multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ on $A$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{\ell}$ is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and $U_{\ell}$ compatible with $\iota$ and transform $\lambda$ on a rational multiple of symplectic form on $U_{\ell}$, given modulo a open compact subgroup $K_{\ell}$. By this description, an isogeny of degree prime to $p$ compatible with $\iota$ and preserving the $\mathbb{Q}$-line of the polarization, is given by an element $g \in T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$. The polarization $g$ defines an isomorphism in the category $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ if and only if $g \tilde{\eta}=\tilde{\eta}^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
Y^{p}=T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right) / K^{p}
$$

with obvious action of Hecke operators and trivial action of Frob ${ }_{v}$.
Quasi-isogeny of degree power of $p$. Let $Y_{p}$ the subset of $X$ where we impose the degree of the quasi-isogeny to be a power of $p$. We will use covariant Dieudonné theory to describe the set $Y_{p}$ with action of the Frobenius operator.

Let $W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$. Let $L$ be the field of fractions of $W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ and we will write $\mathcal{O}_{L}$ instead of $W\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$.

The Frobenius automorphism $\sigma: x \mapsto x^{p}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ induces by functoriality an automorphism $\sigma$ on the Witt vectors. For every abelian variety $A$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}, \mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}\left(A / \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{L}$-module of rank $2 n$ equipped with an operator $\Phi$ which is $\sigma$-linear. Let $D(A)=\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\text {cris }}\left(A / \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ denotes the dual $\mathcal{O}_{L}$-module of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {cris }}^{1}\left(A / \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$, where $\Phi$ acts in $\sigma^{-1}$-linear way. Furthermore, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Lie}(A)=D(A) / \Phi D(A)
$$

Let $L$ be the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O}_{L}$. A quasi-isogeny $\rho: A_{0} \rightarrow A$ induces an isomorphism $D\left(A_{0}\right) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} L \simeq D(A) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}} L$ compatible with the multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ and preserving the $\mathbb{Q}$-line of the polarizations. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of the Dieudonné theory.
Proposition 5.2.2. Let $H=D\left(A_{0}\right) \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}}$ L. The above construction defines a bijection between $Y_{p}$ and the set of lattices $D \subset H$ such that
(1) $p D \subset \Phi D \subset D$,
(2) stable under the action of $\mathcal{O}_{B}$ and which satisfies the relation $\operatorname{tr}(b, D / V D)=\sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \phi(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_{B}$,
(3) $D$ is autodual up to a scalar in $\mathbb{Q}_{p}^{\times}$.

Moreover, the Frobenius operator on $Y_{p}$ that transforms the quasi-isogeny $\rho: A_{0} \rightarrow A$ on the quasi-isogeny $\Phi \circ \rho: A_{0} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \sigma^{*} A$ acts on the above set of lattices by sending $D$ on $\Phi^{-1} D$.

Since $\operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)$ is étale, there exists an unique lifting

$$
\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)
$$

of $x_{0}=\left(A_{0}, \lambda_{0}, \iota_{0}, \eta_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Sh}\left(T, h_{\Phi}\right)\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$. By assumption,

$$
D\left(A_{0}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{1}^{d R}(\tilde{A})
$$

is a free $\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{L}$-module of rank 1 equipped with a pairing given by an element $c \in\left(\mathcal{O}_{F_{p}}^{\times}\right)^{\tau=-1}$. The $\sigma^{-1}$-linear operator $\Phi$ on $H=D\left(A_{0}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p}} L$ is of the form

$$
\Phi=t\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{-1}\right)
$$

for an element $t \in T(L)$.
Lemma 5.2.3. The element $t$ lies in the coset $\mu(p) T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$.
Proof. $H$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes L$-module of rank 1 where

$$
\mathcal{O}_{F} \otimes L=\prod_{\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)} L
$$

is a product of $2 f_{0}$ copies of $L$. By ignoring the autoduality condition, $t$ can be represented by an element

$$
t=\left(t_{\psi}\right) \in \prod_{\substack{\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \\ 41}} L^{\times}
$$

It follows from the assumption

$$
p D_{0} \subset \Phi D_{0} \subset D_{0}
$$

that for all $\psi \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$ we have

$$
0 \leq \operatorname{val}_{p}\left(t_{\psi}\right) \leq 1
$$

Remember that the CM type induces a decomposition $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)=$ $\Psi \sqcup \tau(\Psi)$ and that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(b, D_{0} / \Phi D_{0}\right)=\sum_{\psi \in \Psi} \psi(b)
$$

for all $b \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{val}_{p}\left(t_{\psi}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \text { if } & \psi \notin \Psi \\
1 & \text { if } & \psi \in \Psi
\end{array}\right.
$$

By the definition of $\mu$, it follows that $t \in \mu(p) T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$.
Description of $Y_{p}$ continued. A lattice $D$ stable under the action of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ and autodual up to a scalar, can be uniquely written under the form

$$
D=m D_{0}
$$

for $m \in T(L) / T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$. The condition $p D \subset \Phi D \subset D$ and the trace condition on the tangent space is equivalent to $m^{-1} t \sigma(m) \in \mu(p) T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ and thus $m$ lies in the groups of $\sigma$-fixed points in $T(L) / T(\mathcal{O})_{L}$.

$$
m \in\left[T(L) / T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)\right]^{\langle\sigma\rangle}
$$

Now there is a bijection between the cosets $m \in T(L) / T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$ fixed by $\sigma$ and the cosets $T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ by considering the exact sequence

$$
1 \rightarrow T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \rightarrow T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) \rightarrow\left[T(L) / T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right]^{\langle\sigma\rangle} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\langle\sigma\rangle, T\left(\mathcal{O}_{L}\right)\right)\right.
$$

where the last cohomology group vanishes by Lang's theorem. It follows that

$$
Y_{p}=T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) / T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)
$$

and $\Phi$ acts on it as $\mu(p)$.
In $H, \operatorname{Frob}_{v}\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{r}\right)$ acts as $\Phi^{-r}$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Frob}_{v}\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{r}\right) & =\left(\mu(p)\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{-1}\right)\right)^{-r} \\
& =\mu\left(p^{-1}\right) \sigma\left(\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)\right) \ldots \sigma^{r-1}\left(\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)\right)\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus the Frobenius Frob ${ }_{v}$ acts on $Y^{p} \times Y_{p}$ by the formula

$$
\left(x^{p}, x_{p}\right) \mapsto\left(x^{p}, \mathrm{~N}_{E_{v} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)\right) x_{p}\right) .
$$

Auto-isogenies. For every prime $\ell \neq p, \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(A_{0}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ is a free $F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell^{-}}$ module of rank one. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}=F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} .
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A_{0}, \iota_{0}\right)=F$. The auto-isogenies of $A_{0}$ form the group $F^{\times}$and those who transports the polarization $\lambda_{0}$ on a rational multiple of $\lambda_{0}$ form by definition the subgroup $T(\mathbb{Q}) \subset F^{\times}$.
5.3. Shimura-Taniyama formula. Let $(F, \Phi)$ be a CM-type. Let $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ be an order of $F$ which is maximal almost everywhere. Let $p$ be a prime where $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ is unramified. We can either consider moduli space of polarized abelian schemes with CM-multiplication of CM-type as in previous paragraphs or consider moduli space of abelian schemes with CM-multiplication of CM-type. Everything works in the same way for properness, étaleness, and the description of points but we loose the obvious projective morphism to Siegel moduli space. But since we know a posteriori that there are only finite number of points, this lost is not a serious one.

Let $(A, \iota)$ be an abelian scheme over a number field $K$ which is unramified at $p$ equipped with big enough level structure. $K$ must contains the reflex field $E$ but might be bigger. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a place of $K$ over $p$, and $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathfrak{q}}$ be the localization of $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ at $\mathfrak{q}$, let $q$ be the cardinal of the residue field of $\mathfrak{q}$. By étaleness of the moduli space, $A$ can be extended to an abelian scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{K, q}\right)$ equipped with multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$.

Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the relative Frobenius of $A_{v}$. Since $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(A_{v}\right)=F, \pi_{\mathfrak{q}}$ defines an element of $F$.

Theorem 5.3.1 (Shimura-Taniyama formula). For all prime $v$ of $F$, we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{val}_{v}\left(\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}\right)}{\operatorname{val}_{v}(q)}=\frac{\left|\Phi \cap H_{v}\right|}{\left|H_{v}\right|} .
$$

Proof. As in the description of Frobenius operator in $Y_{p}$, we have

$$
\pi_{\mathfrak{q}}=\Phi^{-r}
$$

where $q=p^{r}$. It is elementary exercice to relate the Shimura-Taniyama formula to the group theoretical description of $\Phi$.
5.4. Shimura varieties of tori. Let $T$ be a torus defined $\mathbb{Q}$ and $h: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}$ a homomorphism. Let $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the associated cocharater. Let $E$ be the number field of definition of $\mu$. Choose an open compact subgroup $K \subset T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)$. The Shimura variety attached to these data is

$$
T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K
$$

since $X_{\infty}$ has just one element. This finite set is the set of $\mathbb{C}$-point of a finite étale scheme over $\operatorname{Spec}(E)$. We need to define how the absolute Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(E)$ acts on this set.

The Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(E)$ will act through its maximal abelian quotient $\mathrm{Gal}^{a b}(E)$. For almost all prime $v$ of $E$, we will define how the Frobenius $\pi_{v}$ at $v$ acts.

A prime $p$ is said unramified if $T$ can be extended to a torus $T$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and of $K_{p}=T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$. Let $v$ be a place of $E$ over an unramified prime $p, p$ is an uniformizing element of $\mathcal{O}_{E, v}$. The cocharacter $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m} \rightarrow T$
is defined over $\mathcal{O}_{E, v}$ so that $\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)$ is well defined element of $T\left(E_{v}\right)$. We ask that the $\pi_{v}$ acts on $T(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$ as the element

$$
\mathrm{N}_{E_{v} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}}\left(\mu\left(p^{-1}\right)\right) \in T\left(\mathbb{Q}_{p}\right) .
$$

By class field theory, this rule defines an action of $\mathrm{Gal}^{a b}(E)$ on the finite set $T(F) \backslash T\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right) / K$.
5.5. Canonical model. Let $(G, h)$ be a Shimura-Deligne datum. Let $\mu: \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{C}} \rightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the attached cocharater. Let $E$ be the field of definition of the conjugacy class of $\mu$ and is called the reflex field of ( $G, h$ ).

Let $\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, h_{2}\right)$ be two Shimura-Deligne data and let $\rho$ : $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be an injective homomorphism of reductive $\mathbb{Q}$-group which sens the conjugacy class $h_{1}$ into the conjugacy class $h_{2}$. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be the reflex fields of $\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, h_{2}\right)$. Since the conjugacy class of $m_{2}=\rho \circ \mu_{1}$ is defined over $E_{1}$, we have the inclusion $E_{2} \subset E_{1}$.

Definition 5.5.1. A canonical model of $\operatorname{Sh}(G, h)$ is an algebraic variety defined over $E$ such that for all $\operatorname{SD}$-datum $\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ where $G_{1}$ is a torus and any injective homomorphism $\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right) \rightarrow(G, h)$ the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Sh}\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sh}(G, h)
$$

is defined over $E_{1}$ where $E_{1}$ is the reflex field of $\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ and the $E_{1}$ structure of $\operatorname{Sh}\left(G_{1}, h_{1}\right)$ was defined in the last paragraph.
Theorem 5.5.2 (Deligne). There exists at most one canonical model up to unique isomorphism.

Theorem 5.2.1 proves more or less that the moduli space give rises to a canonical model for symplectic group. It follows that PEL moduli space also gives rise to canonical model. The same for Shimura varieties of Hodge type and abelian type. Some other crucial cases were obtained by Shih afterward. The general case, the existence of the canonical model is proved by Borovoi and Milne.

Theorem 5.5.3 (Borovoi, Milne). Canonical model exists.
5.6. Integral models. A natural integral model is provided with the PEL moduli problem. More generally, in case of Shimura varieties of Hodge type, Vasiu proves the existence of "canonical" integral model. In this case, integral model is nothing but the closure in the Siegel moduli space. Vasiu proved that this closure has good properties in particular the smoothness. A good place to begin with integral models is the article by Moonen.

## 6. Points of Siegel varieties over finite fields

6.1. Abelian varieties over finite fields up to isogeny. Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite fields of characteristic $p$ with $q=p^{s}$ elements. Let $A$ be a
simple abelian variety defined over $k$ and $\pi_{A} \in \operatorname{End}_{k}(A)$ its geometric Frobenius.

Theorem 6.1.1 (Weil). The subalgebra $\mathbb{Q}\left(\pi_{A}\right) \subset \operatorname{End}_{k}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that for every inclusion $\phi: \mathbb{Q}\left(\pi_{A}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have $\left|\phi\left(\pi_{A}\right)\right|=q^{1 / 2}$.
Proof. Choose polarization and let $\tau$ be the associated Rosati involution. We have

$$
\left(\pi_{A} x, \pi_{A} y\right)=q(x, y)
$$

so that $\tau\left(\pi_{A}\right) \pi_{A}=q$. For every complex embedding $\phi: \operatorname{End}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \tau$ corresponds to the complex conjugation. It follows that $\left|\phi\left(\tau_{A}\right)\right|=q^{1 / 2}$.

Definition 6.1.2. An algebraic number satisfying the conclusion of the above theorem, is called a Weil q-number.
Theorem 6.1.3 (Tate). The homomorphism

$$
\operatorname{End}_{k}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\pi_{A}}\left(V_{\ell}(A)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
In the proof of Tate, the fact that there is a finite number of abelian varieties over finite field with a polarization given type, plays a crucial role.

Theorem 6.1.4 (Honda-Tate). (1) The category $M(k)$ of abelian varieties over $k$ with $\operatorname{Hom}_{M(k)}(A, B)=\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a semi-simple category.
(2) The application $A \mapsto \pi_{A}$ defines a bijection between the set of isogeny classes of simple abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and the set of Galois conjugacy classes of Weil q-numbers.
Corollary 6.1.5. Let $A, B$ abelian varieties over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of dimension $n$. They are isogenous if and only if the characteristic polynomials of $\pi_{A}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\bar{A}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ and $\pi_{B}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\bar{A}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ are the same.
6.2. Conjugacy classes in reductive groups. Let $k$ be a field and $G$ be a reductive group over $k$. Let $T$ be a maximal torus of $G$, the finite group $W=N(T) / T$ acts on $T$. Let

$$
T / W:=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\left[k[T]^{W}\right]\right)
$$

where $k[T]$ is the ring of regular functions on $T$ i.e. $T=\operatorname{Spec}(k[T])$ and $k[T]^{W}$ is the ring of $W$-invariants regular functions on $T$. The following theorem is from [17].

Theorem 6.2.1 (Steinberg). There exists a $G$-invariant morphism

$$
\chi: G \rightarrow T / W
$$

which induces a bijection between the set of semi-simple conjugacy classes of $G(k)$ and $(T / W)(k)$ if $k$ is an algebraically closed field.

If $G=\mathrm{GL}(n)$, the map
$[\chi](k):\{$ semisimple conjugacy class of $G(k)\} \rightarrow(T / W)(k)$
is still a bijection for any field of characteristic zero. For arbitrary reductive group, this map is neither injective nor surjective.

For $a \in(T / W)(k)$, the obstruction to the existence of a (semi-simple) $k$-point in $\chi^{-1}(a)$ lies in some Galois cohomology group $\mathrm{H}^{2}$. In some important cases this group always vanishes.
Proposition 6.2.2 (Kottwitz). If $G$ is a quasi-split group with $G^{\text {der }}$ simply connected, then the $[\chi](k)$ is surjective.

For now, we will assume $G$ be quasi-split and $G^{d e r}$ simply connected. In this case, the elements $a \in(T / W)(k)$ are called stable conjugacy classes. For every stable conjugacy class $a \in(T / W)(k)$, there might exist several semi-simple conjugacy of $G(k)$ contained in $\chi^{-1}(a)$.
Examples. If $G=\operatorname{GL}(n),\left(T_{n} / W_{n}\right)(k)$ is the set of monic polynomials of degree $n$

$$
a=t^{n}+a_{1} t^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{0}
$$

with $a_{0} \in k^{\times}$. If $G=\operatorname{GSp}(2 n),(T / W)(k)$ is the set of pairs $(P, c)$ where $P$ is a monic polynomial of degree $2 n, c \in k^{\times}$satisfying

$$
a(t)=c^{-n} t^{2 n} a(c / t)
$$

In particular, if $a=t^{2 n}+a_{1} t^{2 n-1}+\cdots+a_{2 n}$ then $a_{2 n}=c^{n}$. The homomorphism $\operatorname{GSp}(2 n) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(2 n) \times \mathbb{G}_{m}$ induces a closed immersion

$$
T / W \hookrightarrow\left(T_{2 n} / W_{2 n}\right) \times \mathbb{G}_{m}
$$

Semi-simple elements of $\operatorname{GSp}(2 n)$ are stably conjugate if and only if they have the same characteristic polynomials and the same similitude factors.

Let $\gamma_{0}, \gamma \in G(k)$ be semisimple elements such that $\chi\left(\gamma_{0}\right)=\chi(\gamma)=$ $a$. Since $\gamma_{0}, \gamma$ are conjugate in $G(\bar{k})$ there exists $g \in G(\bar{k})$ such that $g \gamma_{0} g^{-1}=\gamma$. It follows that for every $\varsigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k), \varsigma(g) \gamma_{0} \varsigma(g)^{-1}=\gamma$ and thus

$$
g^{-1} \varsigma(g) \in G_{\gamma_{0}}(\bar{k}) .
$$

The cocycle $\varsigma \mapsto g^{-1} \varsigma(g)$ defines a class

$$
\operatorname{inv}\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma\right) \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(k, G_{\gamma_{0}}\right)
$$

with trivial image in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(k, G)$. For $\gamma_{0} \in \chi^{-1}(a)$ the set of semi-simple conjugacy class stably conjugate to $\gamma_{0}$ is in bijection with

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(k, G_{\gamma_{0}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}(k, G)\right)
$$

It happens often that instead of an element $\gamma \in G(k)$ stably conjugate to $\gamma_{0}$, we have a $G$-torsor $\mathcal{E}$ over $k$ with an automorphism $\gamma$ such that $\chi(\gamma)=a$. We can attach to the pair $(\mathcal{E}, \gamma)$ a class in $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(G, G_{\gamma_{0}}\right)$ whose image in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(k, G)$ is the class of $\mathcal{E}$.

Consider the simplest case where $\gamma_{0}$ is semisimple and strongly regular. For $G=\mathrm{GSp},(g, c)$ is semisimple and strongly regular if and only if the characteristic polynomial of $g$ is a separable polynomial. In this case, $T=G_{\gamma_{0}}$ is a maximal torus of $G$. Let $\hat{T}$ be the complex dual torus equipped with a finite action of $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k)$

Lemma 6.2.3 (Tate-Nakayama). If $k$ is a non-archimedian local field, then $\mathrm{H}^{1}(k, T)$ is the group of characters $\hat{T}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which have finite order.
6.3. Kottwitz triple $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the moduli space of abelian schemes of dimension $n$ with polarizations of type $D$ and principal $N$ level structure. Let $U=\mathbb{Z}^{2 n}$ equipped with an alternating form of type D

$$
U \times U \rightarrow M_{U}
$$

where $M_{U}$ is a rank one free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Let $G=\operatorname{GSp}(2 n)$ be the group of automorphism of the symplectic module $U$.

Let $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ a finite field with $q=p^{r}$ elements. Let $(A, \lambda, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Let $\bar{A}=A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \bar{k}$ and $\pi_{A} \in \operatorname{End}(\bar{A})$ its relative Frobenius endomorphism. Let $a$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\pi_{A}$ on $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\bar{A}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. This polynomial satisfies has rational coefficients and satisfies

$$
a(t)=q^{-n} t^{2 n} a(q / t)
$$

so that $(a, q)$ determines a stable conjugacy class $a$ of $\operatorname{GSp}(\mathbb{Q})$. Weil's theorem implies that this is an elliptic class in $G(\mathbb{R})$. Since GSp is quasi-split and its derived group Sp is simply connected, there exists $\gamma_{0} \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ lying in stable class. The partition $(A, \lambda, \tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ in stable conjugacy classes of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ is the same as the partition by isogeny classes of $A$ ignoring the polarization.

Description of $Y^{p}$. For any prime $\ell \neq p, \rho_{\ell}\left(\pi_{A}\right)$ is an automorphism of the adelic Tate module $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\bar{A}, \mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ preserving the symplectic form up to a similitude factor $q$

$$
\left(\rho_{\ell}\left(\pi_{A}\right) x, \rho_{\ell}\left(\pi_{A}\right) y\right)=q(x, y) .
$$

The rational Tate module $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\bar{A}, \mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$ with the Weil pairing is similar to $U \otimes \mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}$ so that $\pi_{A}$ defines a $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$-conjugacy class of $G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right)$.

$$
Y^{p}=\left\{\tilde{\eta} \in G\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}^{p}\right) / K^{p} \mid \tilde{\eta}^{-1} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \in K^{p}\right\} .
$$

Note that for every prime $\ell \neq p, \gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{\ell}$ are stably conjugate. In the case $\gamma_{0}$ strongly regular semisimple, we have an invariant

$$
\alpha_{\ell}: \hat{T}^{\Gamma_{\ell}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

which is a character of finite order.

Description of $Y_{p}$. Recall that $\pi_{A}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is the composite of an isomorphism $u: \sigma^{r}(\bar{A}) \rightarrow \bar{A}$ and the $r$-th power of the Frobenius $\Phi^{r}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \sigma^{r}(\bar{A})$

$$
\pi_{A}=u \circ \Phi^{r} .
$$

On the covariant Dieudonné module $D=\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\text {cris }}\left(\bar{A} / \mathcal{O}_{L}\right)$, the operator acts $\Phi$ in $\sigma^{-1}$-linear way and $u$ acts in $\sigma^{r}$-linear way. We can extend these action to $H=D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L}} L$. Let $G(H)$ be the group of autosimilitudes of $H$ and we form the semi-direct product $G(H) \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$. The elements $u, \Phi$ and $\pi_{A}$ can be seen as commuting elements of this semi-direct product.

Since $u: \sigma^{r}(A) \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism, $u$ fixes the lattice $u(D)=D$. This implies that

$$
H_{r}=\{x \in H \mid u(x)=x\}
$$

is a $L_{r}$-vector space of dimension $2 n$ over the field of fractions $L_{r}$ of $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}\right)$ and equipped with a symplectic form. Autodual lattices in $H$ fixed by $u$ must come from autodual lattices in $H_{r}$.

Since $\Phi \circ u=u \circ \Phi, \Phi$ stabilizes $H_{r}$ and its restriction to $H_{r}$ induces an $\sigma^{-1}$-linear operator of which the inverse will be denoted by $\delta$. We have

$$
Y_{p}=\left\{g \in G\left(L_{r}\right) / G\left(\mathcal{O}_{L_{r}}\right) \mid g^{-1} \delta \sigma(g) \in K_{p} \mu\left(p^{-1}\right) K_{p}\right\} .
$$

There exists an isomorphism H with $U \otimes L$ that transports $\pi_{A}$ on $\gamma_{0}$ which carries $\Phi$ on an element $b \sigma \in T(L) \rtimes\langle\sigma\rangle$. Following Kottwitz, the $\sigma$-conjugacy class of $b$ in $T(L)$ determines a character

$$
\alpha_{p}: \hat{T}^{\Gamma_{p}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} .
$$

The set of $\sigma$-conjugacy classes in $G(L)$ for any reductive group $G$ is described in [7].

Invariant at $\infty$. Over $\mathbb{R}, T$ is an elliptic maximal torus. The conjugacy class of cocharacter $\mu$ induces a well-defined character

$$
\alpha_{\infty}: \hat{T}^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} .
$$

Let us state Kottwitz theorem in a particular case which is more or less equivalent to theorem 5.2.1. The proof of the general case is much more involved.

Proposition 6.3.1. Let $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)$ a triple with $\gamma_{0}$ semisimple strongly regular. Assume that the torus $T=G_{\gamma_{0}}$ is unramified at $p$. There exists a pair $(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ for a triple $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left.\sum_{v} \alpha_{v}\right|_{\hat{T}^{\Gamma}}=0 .
$$

In that case there are $\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, T)$ isogeny classes of $(A, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ which map to the triple $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)$.

Let $\gamma_{0}$ as in the statement and $a \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ its characteristic polynomial which is a monic polynomial of degree $2 n$ satisfying the equation

$$
a(t)=q^{-n} t^{2 n} a(q / t) .
$$

The algebra $F=\mathbb{Q}[t] / a$ is a product of CM-fields which are unramified at $p$. The moduli space of polarized abelian varieties with multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ with a given CM type is finite and étale at $p$. A point $A \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ mapping to $\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)$ belong to one of these Shimura varieties of dimension 0 by letting $t$ acts as the Frobenius endomorphism Frob $_{q}$.

We can lift $A$ to a point $\tilde{A}$ with coefficients in $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ by the étaleness. By choosing a complex embedding of $W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, we obtain symplectic $\mathbb{Q}$ vector space by taking the first Betti homology $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\tilde{A} \otimes_{W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)} \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ which is equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form and multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$. This defines a conjugation class of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ within the stable class defined by the polynomial $a$. For every prime $\ell \neq p$, the $\ell$-adic homology $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$ is a symplectic vector space equipped with action of $t=\operatorname{Frob}_{q}$. This defines a conjugacy class $\gamma_{\ell}$ of $G\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)$. By comparision theorem, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\tilde{A} \otimes_{W\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)} \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}=\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)
$$

compatible with action of $t$ so that the invariant $\alpha_{\ell}=0$ for $\ell \neq p$.
The compensation between $\alpha_{p}$ ans $\alpha_{\infty}$ is essentially the equality $\Phi=$ $\mu(p)\left(1 \otimes \sigma^{-1}\right)$ occuring in the proof of Theorem 5.2.1.

Kottwitz stated and proved more general statement for all $\gamma_{0}$ and for all PEL Shimura varieties of type (A) and (C). In particular, he derived a formula for the number of points on $\mathcal{A}$

$$
\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)=\sum_{\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)} n\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right) T\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)
$$

where $n\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)=0$ unless Kottwitz vanishing condition is satisfied. In that case

$$
n\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)=\operatorname{ker}^{1}(\mathbb{Q}, I)
$$

and

$$
T\left(\gamma_{0}, \gamma, \delta\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(I\left(\mathbb{Q} \backslash I\left(\mathbb{A}_{f}\right)\right) O_{\gamma}\left(1_{K^{p}}\right) T O_{\delta}\left(1_{K_{p} \mu\left(p^{-1}\right) K_{p}}\right)\right.
$$

where $I$ is an inner form of $G_{\gamma_{0}}$.
It is expected that this formula can be compared to Arthur-Selberg trace formula, see [8].
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