

A synchronized quorum of genetic clocks - Detailed model

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}AHL_{in} &= k_1LuxI + k_{in}AHL_{out} - (k_{out} + \lambda_1 + k_2)AHL_{in} - \gamma AiiA \frac{AHL_{in}}{t_1 + AHL_{in}} \\ \frac{d}{dt}AHL_{out} &= -(k_{in} + \lambda_2)AHL_{out} + k_{out}AHL_{in} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2}DAHL_{out} \\ \frac{d}{dt}LuxR : AHL &= k_2AHL_{in} - \lambda_3LuxR : AHL \\ \frac{d}{dt}LuxI &= \alpha_1LuxR : AHL^2/(t_2^2 + LuxR : AHL^2) - \lambda_4LuxI \\ \frac{d}{dt}AiiA &= \alpha_2LuxR : AHL^2/(t_2^2 + LuxR : AHL^2) - \lambda_5AiiA\end{aligned}$$

Parameters :

- k_1 : production rate of internal AHL by LuxI
- k_{in} : import rate of external AHL
- k_{out} : export rate of internal AHL
- λ_{1-5} : degradation rates
- k_2 : AHL LuxR complex formation rate (assuming excess of LuxR)
- γ : degradation of internal AHL by AiiA

Known parameters

$D \approx 2000\mu m$ per minutes

Period = 50 to 80 minutes so effective half-lives should be shorter

Simplifications

Neglected AHL:LuxR complex formation : AHL_{in} directly activates LuxI and AiiA
 Neglected LuxI, AHL:LuxR, so AHL_{in} directly activates itself

Simplified model :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}AHL_{in} &= \alpha_1AHL_{in}^2/(t_2^2 + AHL_{in}^2) + k_{in}AHL_{out} - (k_{out} + \lambda_1 + k_2)AHL_{in} - \gamma AiiA \frac{AHL_{in}}{t_1 + AHL_{in}} \\ \frac{d}{dt}AiiA &= \alpha_2AHL_{in}^2/(t_2^2 + AHL_{in}^2) - \lambda_5AiiA \\ \frac{d}{dt}AHL_{out} &= -(k_{in} + \lambda_2)AHL_{out} + k_{out}AHL_{in} + \frac{d^2}{dx^2}DAHL_{out}\end{aligned}$$

Renaming variables :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}w &= \alpha_1w^2/(k_1^2 + w^2) - (\lambda_1 + k_{out})w - \gamma v \frac{w}{k_2 + w} + k_{in}A \\ \frac{d}{dt}v &= \alpha_2w^2/(k_1^2 + w^2) - \lambda_2v \\ \frac{d}{dt}A &= k_{out}w - (\lambda_3 + k_{in})A + \frac{d^2}{dx^2}DA\end{aligned}$$

λ_1 is the export, degradation and complex formation of internal AHL

λ_3 is the import and degradation of external AHL

k_{in} is the import rate of external AHL

From the experiment it seems that the period is controlled by the degradation rate of A (flow), so $1/\lambda_3$ should be quite big.

if $v \sim 1$ then $1/\gamma$ is the time it takes for v to degrade $w < 50$ minutes, so let's take α_2 so that $v \sim 1$

Scaling the old model :

Target parameters value found by random sampling :

$\alpha_1 [t^{-1}w]$	$k_1 [w]$	$\gamma [t^{-1}w v^{-1}]$	$k_2 [w]$	$\lambda_1 [t^{-1}]$	$k_{out} [t^{-1}]$	$k_{in} [t^{-1}]$	$\epsilon [m^2 t^{-1}]$	$\alpha_2 [t^{-1}v]$	$\lambda_2 [t^{-1}]$	$\lambda_3 [t^{-1}]$	$D [m^2 t^{-1}]$
3.9	0.9	0.29	0.6	1	1/22	0.14	1e-4	2.31	0.12	1/15	1666

Old model :

$$\frac{d}{dt}w = 90w^2/(1^2 + w^2) - 5w - 400v\frac{w}{3+w} + A$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}v = 1w^2/(1^2 + w^2) - v$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = 4w - 0.5A + 4A_{xx}$$

$$t- > 8t, x- > 20x$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}w = 45/4w^2/(1^2 + w^2) - 5/8w - 50v\frac{w}{3+w} + 1/8A$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}v = 1/8w^2/(1^2 + w^2) - 1/8v$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = 1/2w - 1/16A + 1600A_{xx}$$

w -> 1/3 w (change also scale of A), v->18*v :

$$\frac{d}{dt}w = 15/4w^2/((1/3)^2 + w^2) - 5/8w - 25/27v\frac{w}{1+w} + 1/8A$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}v = 9/4w^2/((1/3)^2 + w^2) - 1/8v$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}A = 1/2w - 1/16A + 1600A_{xx}$$

So $1/16 = (\lambda_3 + k_{in})$, $(\lambda_1 + k_{out}) = 5/8$, $k_{in} = 1/8, k_{out} = 1/2$

so $\lambda_3 = -1/16!$ and $\lambda_1 = 1/8$

Finally we have :

$\alpha_1 [t^{-1}w]$	$k_1 [w]$	$\gamma [t^{-1}w v^{-1}]$	$k_2 [w]$	$\lambda_1 [t^{-1}]$	$k_{out} [t^{-1}]$	$k_{in} [t^{-1}]$	$\epsilon [m^2 t^{-1}]$	$\alpha_2 [t^{-1}v]$	$\lambda_2 [t^{-1}]$	$\lambda_3 [t^{-1}]$	$D [m^2 t^{-1}]$
3.9	0.9	0.29	0.6	1	1/22	0.14	4	2.31	0.12	1/15	1600

$\alpha_1 [t^{-1}w]$	$k_1 [w^2]$	$\gamma [t^{-1}w v^{-1}]$	$k_2 [w]$	$\lambda_1 [t^{-1}]$	$k_{out} [t^{-1}]$	$k_{in} [t^{-1}]$	$\epsilon [m^2 t^{-1}]$	$\alpha_2 [t^{-1}v]$	$\lambda_2 [t^{-1}]$	$\lambda_3 [t^{-1}]$	$D [m^2 t^{-1}]$
3.7	0.33	0.92	1	0.62	1/2	0.12	4	2.25	0.12	0	1600
15/4	1/3	5/8	1	25/27	1/2	1/8	4	9/4	1/8	0	1600