

## CHAPTER 3

### The ring of Adèles

#### 1. The strong approximation theorem

Let  $v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{V}$  be a place of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; we have seen that the inclusion

$$\delta_v : \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_v$$

has dense image. One may now consider this question for several places simultaneously: let  $S \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a set and let  $\mathbb{Q}_S = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v$ ; the field  $\mathbb{Q}$  embeds into  $\mathbb{Q}_S$  via the diagonal embedding

$$\delta_S : x \in \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow (x, \dots, x) \in \mathbb{Q}_S.$$

**THEOREM 3.1** (The weak approximation theorem). *For any finite set  $S \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , the image of  $\mathbb{Q}$  under the diagonal embedding is dense for the product topology on  $\mathbb{Q}_S$ .*

This theorem states that given any  $S$ -uple  $(x_v)_{v \in S}$  one can find a rational number  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x$  is *simultaneously* close to each  $x_v$  in the  $v$ -adic sense.

**PROOF.** We may assume that  $\infty \in S$ . It suffice to show that for any  $r > 0$  and any uple  $(x_v)_{v \in S} \in \mathbb{Q}_S$

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap \prod_v \Omega_v \neq \emptyset, \text{ where } \Omega_v = B_c(x_v, p_v^{-r})_v, p_\infty = e, p_p = p.$$

Actually we may assume that  $x_v \in \mathbb{Q}$  for every  $v$  (by density of  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_v$ ). Shifting by  $x_\infty \in \mathbb{Q}$  and replacing  $x_p$  by  $x_p - x_\infty$  for  $p \in S - \{\infty\}$ , it is sufficient to show that for any  $(x_p)_{p \in S - \{\infty\}} \in \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Q}$  and any integer  $r > 0$

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap B_c(0, e^{-r})_\infty \prod_{p \in S} B_c(x_p, p^{-r})_p \neq \emptyset.$$

We start with the simpler problem of showing that

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap \prod_{p \in S} B_c(x_p, p^{-r})_p \neq \emptyset.$$

Multiplying by the product of the denominators of the  $x_p$  and changing  $r$ , if necessary, it suffice to show that for any  $(x_p)_{p \in S} \in \prod_{p \in S} \mathbb{Z}$  and any  $r > 0$

$$\mathbb{Z} \cap \prod_{p \in S} B_c(x_p, p^{-r})_p \neq \emptyset.$$

but this is equivalent to finding a solution to the system of congruences

$$x \equiv x_p \pmod{p^r} \text{ for every } p \in S.$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem such a solution always exists and can be chosen in the interval  $[0, \prod_{p \in S} p^r]$ . Let  $q \notin S$  be another prime. By the above reasoning the system of congruences

$$x \equiv q^k x_p \pmod{p^r} \text{ for every } p \in S$$

admits an integral solution; let  $x_{q^k} \in [0, \prod_{p \in S} p^r]$  be such a solution: we have

$$\frac{x_{q^k}}{q^k} \in \prod_{p \in S} B_c(x_p, p^{-r})_p$$

and in addition

$$\left| \frac{x_{q^k}}{q^k} \right|_\infty \leq \frac{\prod_{p \in S} p^r}{q^k} < e^{-r}$$

if  $k$  is taken sufficiently large. □

**1.1. The strong approximation theorem.** In fact the proof given above yields a somewhat stronger statement:

**THEOREM 3.2** (The strong approximation theorem). *Let  $S \subset \mathcal{V}$  be a finite set of places of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $v_0 \notin S$ , and for each  $v \in S$  let  $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_v$  be a non-empty open set. There exists  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that*

$$x \in \Omega_v \quad \forall v \in S, \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{Z}_v \text{ for all } v \notin S \cup \{v_0\}.$$

*In particular if  $\infty \notin S$  one may choose  $v_0 = \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Exercise. □

This theorem is stronger than the preceding one because it states that, given any finite set of places  $S$ , any  $S$ -uple  $(x_v)_{v \in S}$ , one can always find a rational number  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x$  is *simultaneously*

- close to each  $x_v$  in the  $v$ -adic sense, for each place  $v \in S$
- a  $v$ -adic integer at all places  $v$  not in  $S$ , with at most one exception (which can be chosen at desired).

Our goal will be to reformulate this theorem in a more uniform way, in which the set of places  $S$  is less apparent. For this we consider the full infinite product rings

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}} = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{Q}_v = \mathbb{R} \times \prod_p \mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Q}_\infty \times \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}_f}.$$

The field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  imbeds as a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}}$  via the diagonal embedding

$$\delta_{\mathcal{V}} : x \in \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \delta(x) = (x_\infty = x, x_2 = x, x_3 = x, \dots, x_p = x, \dots) \in \mathbb{R} \times \prod_p \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

The strong approximation theorem in that case can be reformulated as follows:

**THEOREM.** *For any place  $v$  let  $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_v$  be a non-empty open subset and  $\Omega = \prod_v \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . We assume that for all but finitely many primes  $p$ ,  $\Omega_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and also that for at least one  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\Omega_v = \mathbb{Q}_v$ , then*

$$\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset.$$

## 2. The ring of Adèles

We would like to interpret this result as a sort of density result for  $\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{Q})$ :

- (1) that would be possible if we declared the subsets  $\prod_v \Omega_v$  with  $\Omega_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for a.e.  $v$  a basis of open neighborhoods of  $\prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v$  but this is not possible since there exist elements of  $\prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v$  which are not contained in any of these sets (take for instance  $(0, x_p)_p$ ,  $x_p = p^{-p}$ ).
- (2) We could instead equip  $\prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v$  with the *product* or *Tychonoff* topology: the topology for which a basis of open sets is given by set of the shape  $\prod_v \Omega_v$  with  $\Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_v$  non-empty open and  $\Omega_v = \mathbb{Q}_v$  for a.e.  $v$  but then the density of  $\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{Q})$  for this topology would be equivalent only to the weak approximation theorem and not to the strong one.

We observe that  $\delta(\mathbb{Q})$  is contained in a significantly smaller subring of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}}$ , namely

$$\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{R} \times \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p \text{ where } \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p = \{(x_p)_p, x_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for a.e. } p\}.$$

Indeed any  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  belong to  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for all but finitely many  $p$ , namely the  $p$  which do not divide the denominator of  $p$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. *The ring  $\mathbb{A}$  is called the ring of adèles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It factors as*

$$\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{A}_f$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}_f := \prod'_p \mathbb{Q}_p = \{(x_p)_p, x_p \in \mathbb{Q}_p, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for a.e. } p\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}_f}$$

is the restricted product of the  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with respect to the sequence of subsets  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)_p$  and is called the ring of finites adèles of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

More generally, for  $S \subset \mathcal{V}$  a set of places we denote by  $\mathbb{A}_S$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{(S)} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}-S}$

$$\mathbb{A}_S = \prod'_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v = \{(x_v)_{v \in S}, x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for a.e. } p \in S\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_S,$$

and

$$\mathbb{A}^{(S)} = \prod'_{v \notin S} \mathbb{Q}_v \{(x_v)_{v \in S}, x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for a.e. } p \notin S\} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}-S}.$$

For instance,  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_p = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}_f} = \mathbb{A}^{(\infty)} = \mathbb{A}_f$ . These are subrings of the corresponding products for the pointwise addition and multiplication. Moreover  $\mathbb{A}^S$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{(S)}$  embeds into as  $\mathbb{A}$  as  $\mathbb{A}$ -modules via

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_S &\simeq \{(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}, x_v = 0 \text{ for all } v \notin S\}, \\ \mathbb{A}^{(S)} &= \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}-S} = \{(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}, x_v = 0 \text{ for all } v \in S\}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.1. The set  $\mathbb{A}$  is indeed a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathcal{V}}$  for the pointwise addition and multiplication: if  $(x_v)_v$  and  $(y_v)_v$  are such that  $x_p, y_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  a.e.  $p$ , then  $x_p + y_p, x_p y_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  a.e.  $p$ .

REMARK 2.2. The field  $\mathbb{Q}$  embeds into  $\mathbb{A}_S$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{(S)}$  via the diagonal embeddings  $\delta_S$  and  $\delta^{(S)} = \delta_{\mathcal{V}-S}$  giving these rings the structure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebras.

**2.1. The adelic topology.** We now equip these rings with an adequate restricted product topology

DEFINITION 3.2. *The adelic topology on  $\mathbb{A}_S \subset \mathbb{Q}_S$  is the restriction to  $\mathbb{A}_S$  of the product topology on  $\mathbb{Q}_S$ . A basis of open neighborhoods is composed of the subsets of the shape*

$$\Omega = \prod_{v \in S} \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{A}_S, \quad \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_v \text{ open}, \quad \Omega_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ a.e. } p \in S.$$

EXERCISE 3.1. Prove that addition and multiplication are continuous for the adelic topology. Prove that the adelic topology on  $\mathbb{A}_S$  is the one obtained by restricting of the adelic topology on  $\mathbb{A}$  to the image of the embedding (2.1) and that this image is closed in  $\mathbb{A}$ .

With this definition the strong approximation theorem is equivalent to

THEOREM. *For any place  $v_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  (embedded by  $\delta^{(v_0)}$ ) is dense in  $\mathbb{A}^{(v_0)}$ . In particular  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{A}_f$ .*

This result is optimal since we have

THEOREM.  *$\mathbb{Q}$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{A}$ .*

PROOF. Since additive translations are homeomorphisms it is sufficient to show that 0 is isolated: there exists an open set  $\Omega = \prod_v \Omega_v$  such that

$$\mathbb{Q} \cap \Omega = \{0\}.$$

One take

$$\Omega = [-1/2, 1/2] \times_p \mathbb{Z}_p$$

so that if  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \Omega$  we have

$$|x|_\infty \leq 1/2, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for all } p \Leftrightarrow |x|_\infty \leq 1/2, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and therefore  $x = 0$ . □

**2.2. The ring of adelic integers.** Let  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the product of all  $p$ -adic integers

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{A}_f$$

this is an open subring of  $\mathbb{A}_f$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The ring  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is open, compact and locally compact.*

PROOF.  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is clearly open (since the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are open). It is also closed being the complement of the union of the open sets (because  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is closed in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ )

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p - \mathbb{Z}_p) \prod_{p' \neq p} \mathbb{Q}_{p'}.$$

Observe that the topology induced by the inclusion  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{A}_f$  is precisely the *product (or Tychonoff)* topology on  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \prod_p \mathbb{Z}_p$ : the topology whose basis of open subsets is given by the sets of the shape

$$\Omega = \prod_p \Omega_p, \quad \Omega_p \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ open}, \quad \Omega_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ a.e. } p.$$

The rings  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  being compact, and locally compact, by Tychonoff theorem  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is compact, locally compact. Let  $R \subset \mathbb{A}_f$  be a compact subring and let  $R_p$  be its projection to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ; this is a compact subring of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  hence is contained in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .  $\square$

Since translations are homeomorphism we obtain that

**THEOREM 3.3.**  $\mathbb{A}_f$  and  $\mathbb{A}$  are locally compact topological rings.

**REMARK 2.3.** Observe that if  $S$  is infinite, the product ring  $\mathbb{Q}_S$  is NOT locally compact. This is another reason why the adèles equipped with adelic topology is the right space to consider.

Since  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is open, by the strong approximation theorem for  $\mathbb{A}_f$  one has

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *One has the decompositions*

$$\mathbb{A}_f = \mathbb{Q} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{R} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

**REMARK 2.4.** In the above expression,  $\mathbb{Q}$  should be understood as  $\delta_{\mathcal{V}_f}(\mathbb{Q})$  for the first equality and  $\delta_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{Q})$  for the second. In the second  $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{A}_{\infty} = (\mathbb{R}, 0, 0 \cdots)_v$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = (0, \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \cdots)$ .

**PROOF.** Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is open in  $\mathbb{A}_f$ , the union of translates of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  by the elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  covers all of  $\mathbb{A}_f$ :  $\mathbb{Q} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{A}_f$ ; the second result follows immediately.  $\square$

Since  $\mathbb{Q} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$  we obtain the following version of the

**THEOREM (Chinese Remainder Theorem).**  $\mathbb{Z}$  is dense in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  for the adelic topology.

**EXERCISE 3.2.** Prove this result directly.

**EXERCISE 3.3.** Prove that  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is maximal for the compactness property: more precisely any compact subring of  $\mathbb{A}_f$  is contained in  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**EXERCISE 3.4.** Prove that as a group  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the profinite completion of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**EXERCISE 3.5.** Prove that if  $K_f \subset \mathbb{A}_f$  is a compact subset, there exist  $m \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$  such that  $K_f$  is a finite disjoint unions of points and of translates of  $m\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**EXERCISE 3.6.** Prove the finer decomposition

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Q} + [-1/2, 1/2] + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{A}$  it is also closed and we may consider the quotient  $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$  space; equipped with the quotient topology this quotient is locally compact separated space (Cf. the Appendix). Since  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  is compact the same is true for the quotient  $(\mathbb{Q} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \backslash \mathbb{A}$ . We have the following

**THEOREM 3.4.** *The quotient  $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$  is compact. We have an homeomorphism*

$$(\mathbb{Q} + \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \backslash \mathbb{A} \simeq \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1$$

**PROOF.** The images of

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}, \quad [-1/2, 1/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$$

under the (continuous) projection map are compact images and their sum is  $\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}$  (by (2.2)). We leave the second statement as an exercise (observe that  $\mathbb{Q} \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}$ ).  $\square$

### 3. The group of Idèles

The group of idèles,  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  is the multiplicative group of invertible elements (or units) of the ring  $\mathbb{A}$ . It decompose as the *restricted product* of the multiplicative groups  $(\mathbb{Q}_v^\times)_{v \in \mathcal{V}}$  with respect to the sequence of subgroups  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)_p$ :

$$\mathbb{A}^\times = \{(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}, x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v^\times, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \text{ for a.e. } p\} = \prod'_v \mathbb{Q}_v^\times \subset \mathbb{Q}_\mathcal{V}^\times = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{Q}_v^\times$$

In the same way, for  $S \subset \mathcal{V}$ , the group of units of  $\mathbb{A}_S$  is given by

$$\mathbb{A}_S^\times = \{(x_v)_{v \in S}, x_v \in \mathbb{Q}_v^\times, x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \text{ for a.e. } p \in S\} = \prod'_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v^\times \subset \mathbb{Q}_S^\times = \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}_v^\times.$$

In particular  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times = \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}_f}^\times = \mathbb{A}^{\times(\infty)}$  is called the group of finite ideles. We will realize the group  $\mathbb{A}_S^\times$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  via

$$\mathbb{A}_S^\times \simeq \{(x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}^\times, x_v = 1 \ \forall v \notin S\};$$

**3.1. The topology of idèles.** What is called the *adelic topology* on the group of idèles  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  or any  $\mathbb{A}_S^\times$  is NOT a priori the restriction of the adelic topology relative the inclusion  $\mathbb{A}^\times \subset \mathbb{A}$  (or  $\mathbb{A}_S^\times \subset \mathbb{A}_S$ ).

**DEFINITION 3.3.** *The adelic topology on  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  (and similarly for  $\mathbb{A}_S^\times$ ) is either (prove that the two definitions are the same)*

- *The restriction of the product topology relative to the inclusion*

$$\prod'_v \mathbb{Q}_v^\times \subset \prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v^\times.$$

*In other terms a basis of open sets for  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  is given by set of the shape*

$$\Omega = \prod_v \Omega_v, \ \Omega_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_v^\times \text{ open, } \Omega_p = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \text{ a.e. } p$$

*(observe that  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is an open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  equipped with the  $p$ -adic topology).*

- *The restriction of the adelic topology on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  when  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  is realized as the closed subset*

$$\mathbb{A}^\times \simeq \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{A}^2, xy = 1\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$$

*via the map  $x \in \mathbb{A}^\times \mapsto (x, x^{-1}) \in \mathbb{A}^2$ .*

**THEOREM 3.5.** *With this topology,  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  is a locally compact topological group (multiplication and inversion are continuous) of which the  $\mathbb{A}_S^\times$  are closed subgroups and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  is an open compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times$ .*

**PROOF.** Since component-wise multiplication on  $\mathbb{A}^2$  and the involution  $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$  are continuous multiplication and inversion are continuous on  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  (taking the second definition of the adelic topology). Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is an open and compact subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ ,  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  equipped with the adelic topology (which is nothing else than the Tychonoff topology) is open, compact and locally compact of subgroup  $\mathbb{A}_f$ ; from this the local compactness of  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times$  and  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  follow by a translation argument.  $\square$

EXERCISE 3.7. Prove that the relative topology on  $\mathbb{A}^\times \subset \mathbb{A}$  is not the adelic topology defined above

EXERCISE 3.8. Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  are maximal compact subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  and  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times$  respectively: any compact subgroup is contained in it.

EXERCISE 3.9. Given  $q_f \in \mathbb{A}_f^\times \cap \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , let

$$K(q_f) := \{x_f \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times, x_f \pmod{q_f} = 1 \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}/q_f\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

Prove that  $K(q_f)$  is an open compact subgroup of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  which depends only on the positive integer

$$q := \prod_p p^{v_p(q_f)}.$$

This group also noted  $K(q)$  is called the *principal congruence subgroup* of level  $q$ ; prove that as  $q$  varies, these groups form a basis of open neighborhoods of 1 in  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times$ . Prove that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times / K(q_f) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Let us now discuss how much "space" the subgroup of rational elements  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  occupies into  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. *The group  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . One has the following decompositions*

$$\mathbb{A}_f^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times, \quad \mathbb{A}^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \mathbb{R}^\times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$$

and

$$\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_f / K(q_f) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

On the other hand  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  is not compact in  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ . To see the obstruction we introduce

DEFINITION 3.4. *The adelic modulus (or adelic absolute value) is the map given by the converging product*

$$|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}} : x = (x_v)_v \in \mathbb{A}^\times \mapsto |x|_{\mathbb{A}} := \prod_v |x_v|_v \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

Indeed this is well defined since for a.e.  $p$ ,  $|x_p|_p \leq 1$ . Notice that  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}$  is identically 0 on  $\mathbb{A} - \mathbb{A}^\times$  while on  $\mathbb{A}^\times$  the above infinite product is converging (to a non-zero limit) since for a.e.  $p$ ,  $|x_p|_p \leq 1$ .

EXERCISE 3.10. Prove that  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}} : \mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a continuous group homomorphism.

We denote by  $\mathbb{A}^1$  the group of ideles of modulus 1 (the kernel of  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{A}}$ ): this is a closed subgroup. We have

PROPOSITION 3.4 (Product formula). *We have*

$$\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{Q}^\times \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

In particular for any  $x_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$  one has the

$$(Product\ formula) \quad |x|_{\mathbb{A}} = |x|_{\infty} \prod_p |x|_p = 1$$

PROOF. It is obvious that  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \subset \mathbb{A}^1$  while for  $x_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$

$$|x|_{\mathbb{A}} = |x|_{\infty} \prod_p |x|_p = \prod_p p^{v_p(x)} \prod_p p^{-v_p(x)} = 1.$$

Given  $x = (x_{\mathbb{R}}, x_f) \in \mathbb{R}^\times \times \mathbb{A}_f^\times$  of modulus 1, we have  $x_{\mathbb{R}} = \pm |x_f|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ , therefore up to multiplying  $x$  by a rational number we may assume that  $x = (1, x_f)$  and  $|x_f|_{\mathbb{A}} = 1$  which is equivalent to  $|x_p|_p = 1$  for every  $p$  or in other terms  $(x_p)_p \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ .  $\square$  Observe that

$$|\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times|_{\mathbb{A}} = |\mathbb{R}^\times|_{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

is not compact, therefore

*The quotient  $\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times$  is not compact.*

This is the only obstruction:

**THEOREM 3.6.** *The group  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{A}^1$  and the quotient  $\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1$  is compact.*

PROOF. Since  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \subset \mathbb{A}^2$  is discrete it follows (from the definition of the adelic topology and the fact that  $\mathbb{A}^1$  is closed) that  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  is discrete in  $\mathbb{A}^1$ . The compactness of  $\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^1$  follows from the decomposition  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{Q}^\times \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and the compactness of  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ .  $\square$

Finally we observe that strong approximation does not hold for the ideles:

**EXERCISE 3.11.** Prove that

$$\mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_f^\times / K(q_f) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

where  $q = \prod_p p^{v_p(q_f)}$ . In particular  $\mathbb{Q}^\times$  is not dense in  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times$ .

**REMARK 3.1.** As we will see in greater generality later the decomposition  $\mathbb{A}_f^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$  is equivalent to the fundamental

**THEOREM 3.7.** *The ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principal ideal ring: any ideal  $0 \neq I \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is of the shape  $m\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*