## TOPICS IN NUMBER THEORY - EXERCISE SHEET II

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

*Exercise 1* (Action of the modular group on the upper-half plane)

Let  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$  be the modular group and let  $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, \Im(z) > 0\}$  be the upper-half plane. Recall that  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by Möbius transformations. Let also

$$D = \{ z \in \mathbb{H}, |\Re(z)| < 1/2, |z| > 1 \}$$

be the usual fundamental domain for the modular group  $\Gamma$ .

Prove that if  $z, z' \in \overline{D}$ ,  $z \neq z'$ , are such that there exists  $A \in \Gamma$  satisfying  $z' = A \cdot z$ , then either,  $\Re(z) = \pm 1/2$  and  $z' = z \mp 1$ , or |z| = 1 and z' = -1/z. Let  $\rho = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . For  $z \in \overline{D}$ , let

$$S(z) = \{A \in \Gamma, A \cdot z = z\}$$

be the stabilizer of z under the action of  $\Gamma$ . Prove that if  $z \in \overline{D} \setminus \{i, \rho, -\rho^2\}$  then  $S(z) = \{I_2\}.$ 

Let T and S be the elements of  $\Gamma$  respectively defined by their action on  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  by  $T \cdot z = z + 1$  and  $S \cdot z = -1/z$ . Prove that  $S(i) = \{I_2, S\}, S(\rho) = \{I_2, ST, (ST)^2\}$  and  $S(-\rho^2) = \{I_2, TS, (TS)^2\}$ .

## *Exercise 2* (On class numbers of positive definite quadratic forms)

Let D < 0 be an integer such that  $D = 0 \pmod{4}$ , or  $D = 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_D$  be the set of quadratic forms

$$Q(x,y) = Ax^2 + Bxy + Cy^2$$

with coefficients  $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $B^2 - 4AC = D$ , A > 0 and gcd(A, B, C) = 1. For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_D$ , we define

$$(\gamma \cdot Q)(x, y) = Q(ax + by, cx + dy),$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Prove that this defines an action of the modular group  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{Q}_D$ .

The number of equivalence classes under this action is called the class number of D and is denoted by h(D). The goal of this exercise is to show that h(D) is finite.

**Steps.** — (I) Prove that there is a bijection between  $\mathfrak{Q}_D$  and the set

$$\left\{\frac{-B + i\sqrt{-D}}{2A}, A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}, B^2 - 4AC = D, A > 0, \gcd(A, B, C) = 1\right\}.$$

(II) For  $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_D$ , we set

$$z_Q = \frac{-B + i\sqrt{-D}}{2A}.$$

For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $Q \in \mathfrak{Q}_D$ , check that

$$z_{\gamma \cdot Q} = \gamma^{-1} \cdot z_Q.$$

- (III) Show that in each equivalence class of the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathfrak{Q}_D$ , there is a unique representative whose coefficients satisfy  $-A < B \leq A < C$  or  $0 \leq B \leq A = C$ .
- (IV) Conclude.

*Exercise* 3 (On the space of modular forms). — For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , prove that the set of modular forms of weight 2k is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Prove also that if f is a modular form of weight 2k and g is a modular form of weight  $2\ell$  then fg is a modular form of weight  $2k + 2\ell$ .

*Exercise* 4 (Elliptic functions). — A discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{C}$  which contains an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{C}$  is called a lattice.

An elliptic function relative to a lattice  $\Lambda$  is a meromorphic function  $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies  $f(z+\omega) = f(z)$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and any  $\omega \in \Lambda$ . All along this exercise, we let  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice, and f be an elliptic function relative to  $\Lambda$ .

Prove that if f has no poles then f is constant. Prove also that if f has no zeros then f is constant.

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we let  $\operatorname{res}_z(f)$  be the residue of f at z. Show that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda} \operatorname{res}_z(f) = 0.$$

For  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , we let  $v_{z_0}(f)$  be the order of f at  $z_0$ , that is the integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the function  $f(z)(z-z_0)^{-n}$  is holomorphic and non-zero at  $z_0$ . Show that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda} v_z(f) = 0.$$

The order  $\operatorname{ord}(f)$  of f is defined by

$$\operatorname{ord}(f) = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \\ v_z(f) > 0}} v_z(f).$$

Show that if f is non-constant then we have

$$\operatorname{ord}(f) \ge 2.$$

*Exercise* 5 (On the Weierstrass function). — For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we define the Weierstrass  $\wp_{\tau}$ -function by

$$\wp_{\tau}(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \left( \frac{1}{(z - (m\tau + n))^2} - \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^2} \right),$$

and, for  $k \geq 2$ , we define the Eisenstein series  $G_{2k}(\tau)$  by

$$G_{2k}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{(m,n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (m,n) \neq (0,0)}} \frac{1}{(m\tau + n)^{2k}}.$$

All along this exercise, we view  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$  as being fixed and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  as being a variable. Check that, for  $k \geq 2$ , the Eisenstein series  $G_{2k}$  converges absolutely.

Prove that the series defining the Weierstrass  $\wp_{\tau}$ -function converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset of  $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \langle 1, \tau \rangle$ , where

$$\langle 1, \tau \rangle = \mathbb{Z} + \tau \mathbb{Z}.$$

Prove also that it defines a meromorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , having a double pole with residue 0 at each point of  $\langle 1, \tau \rangle$ , and no other pole.

Show that the Weierstrass  $\wp_{\tau}$ -function is an even elliptic function.

Prove that there is a neighborhood U of the origin such that for any  $z \in U \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\wp_{\tau}(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (2k+1)G_{2k+2}(\tau)z^{2k}.$$

Finally, prove that, for any  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \langle 1, \tau \rangle$ , we have

$$\wp_{\tau}'(z)^2 = 4\wp_{\tau}(z)^3 - 60G_4(\tau)\wp_{\tau}(z) - 140G_6(\tau).$$

## Exercise 6 (Non-vanishing of the Discriminant on the upper-half plane)

For  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we define the Discriminant  $\Delta(\tau)$  by

 $\Delta(\tau) = 60^3 G_4(\tau)^3 - 27 \cdot 140^2 G_6(\tau)^2.$ 

The goal of this exercise is to prove that, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have

$$\Delta(\tau) \neq 0,$$

without using the fact that  $\Delta(\tau)$  is a modular form.

**Steps.** — (I) Check that  $\Delta(\tau)$  is the discriminant of the polynomial

$$4X^3 - 60G_4(\tau)X - 140G_6(\tau).$$

(II) Let  $\omega_1 = 1$ ,  $\omega_2 = \tau$  and  $\omega_3 = 1 + \tau$ . Prove that

$$\wp_{\tau}'\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) = 0,$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

(III) Prove that

$$\wp_{\tau}\left(\frac{\omega_i}{2}\right) \neq \wp_{\tau}\left(\frac{\omega_j}{2}\right),$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j$ .

(IV) Conclude using Exercise 5.

**To go further**. — For  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , let  $E_{\tau}$  be the elliptic curve defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  by the Weierstrass equation

 $y^2 = 4x^3 - 60G_4(\tau)x - 140G_6(\tau).$ 

One can show that the map  $\Psi: \mathbb{C}/\langle 1, \tau \rangle \to E_{\tau}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$  defined by

$$\Psi(z) = (\wp_\tau(z) : \wp_\tau'(z) : 1)$$

is an isomorphism of Riemann surfaces and also a group homomorphism.

**To go further**. — One can prove that, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have the Jacobi product formula

$$\Delta(\tau) = (2\pi)^{12} q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{24},$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ , from which we immediately deduce that  $\Delta(\tau) \neq 0$ .

*Exercise* 7 (On the modular invariant). — For  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we define the modular invariant  $j(\tau)$  by

$$j(\tau) = 12^3 \frac{60^3 G_4(\tau)^3}{\Delta(\tau)}.$$

Prove that a meromorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$  is a modular function of weight 0 if and only if it is a rational function of j.

## *Exercise* 8 (Modular forms in terms of their zeros)

Let f be a non-zero modular form and let  $z_1, \ldots, z_N$  be the zeros of f belonging to  $\overline{D} \setminus \{i, \rho, -\rho^2\}$  (possibly with repetitions). Prove that there exists a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that, for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have

$$f(\tau) = \lambda G_4(\tau)^{v_{\rho}(f)} G_6(\tau)^{v_i(f)} \Delta(\tau)^{v_{\infty}(f)+N} \prod_{\ell=1}^N \left( j(\tau) - j(z_{\ell}) \right),$$

where  $v_{\rho}(f)$ ,  $v_i(f)$  and  $v_{\infty}(f)$  respectively denote the orders of vanishing of f at  $\rho$ , i and  $\infty$ .

PIERRE LE BOUDEC - Spring 2016

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne